State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-176

Complainant: No. 1398110885A

Judge: No. 1398110885B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge set his bond too high and did not provide adequate advisory counsel. The commission reviewed the complaint and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. There is insufficient evidence to support an investigation, and the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 1, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed
to the complainant and the judge
on September 1, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____ Date: _____

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

profanes the very bench upon which she sits. She is at her very best an embarrassment to the State of Arizona. And, at her worst she represents the the very antithesis of Justice as it is defined in the U.S. Bill of Rights and Represented by the United States Supreme Court

Ms. _____ begins with the assumption of guilt by accusation without the benefit of trial by jury, when United States citizens are entitled to the presumption of innocence, until proven guilty in a court of law by a jury of their peers

She violates the rights of the accused by keeping them incarcerated under excessive bond without just cause, to deny them access to evidence, law librarys, or any other resources that would benefit the defence. Her behavior is so blatantly bias in favor of the prosecution, as to disallow any question of her prejudice in favor of the plaintiff, and her clear intent to stifle any chance that the truth be heard

yours truly in pursuit of justice
under the law.

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)

ILSF-022 – Judicial Complaint   3/1/09