

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-200

Complainant: No. 1398510887A

Judge: No. 1398510887B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a municipal court judge refused to accept his exhibits and improperly allowed the state to file an untimely response brief. After analyzing the allegations, the commission found no evidence of misconduct on the part of the judge. The judge acted within her discretion in deciding to deny the exhibits and accept the untimely brief. Whether the judge abused her discretion is a legal issue outside the jurisdiction of the commission. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 17, 2010

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 17, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2010-200

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 8/6/10

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

① Attached is copy of Appellant's Appeal Memorandum which shows the unwillingness of the city court to hear what Officer Michael Ryan did in issuing me a ticket I didn't deserve, perjurying himself, etc. She then refused as shown in that document my defense + exhibits for the most part. I impeached as well as he repeatedly impeach himself. Court ruled against me.

② On Appeal William Mills prosecuting committed FRAUD on me + ct. which the Court (Superior Ct. Michael Miller) relied on while Mills tried to extort money from me based on his FRAUD.

③ MR. Mills had Filed a Late Response Memorandum, I Filed Mo. to Strike on 4/1/10. Case was still in hands of City Clerk. gets involved 'answering' by Mo. to strike. 3-4 days after 4/1 Filing she, who hasn't had the case in months, Files a dated list of issues + days later corrects the date of MR. Mill's Response Memorandum. On FACE it says 3/23 which is a day late. She "corrects" her list to read 3/22. This is in April. Mills Files a Response to my Mo to Strike and never sends me a copy. Superior Ct. rules against me while I'm waiting for him to strike late Memorandum. In ruling I discover Mill's Filed and June 7 + 8 File 2 Mo. Re: FRAUD. Mills doesn't respond to most severe Mo. of 6/8 - doesn't deny he never sent me response to my Mo to strike but he responds to 6/7 Mo. Re: reconsideration in part. he then enters ^{dates} ~~claims~~ as if she is a witness to some mysterious Response Memorandum with a 3/22 date on it. ROA has 3/22 so where is she seeing 3/22 document? It's not in the ROA. Had to get it FROM Mills to 'witness' but it doesn't show up even in ROA. See exhibits on that. Ct. again on Appeal ruled against me ignoring

Acts of Mills' And by.