

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-257

Complainant: No. 1002214025A

Judge: No. 1002214025B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a justice of the peace was biased, appeared confused during a hearing, and made inappropriate statements. After analyzing the allegations, the judge's response, and listening to the recording of the hearing, the commission found no evidence of misconduct on the part of the judge. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 18, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 18, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**2010-257****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Your name: _____

Judge's name: _____

Date: 10-4-10

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Attach additional pages, as needed. Please describe in your own words what the judge said or did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. To help us understand your concern, be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places where the conduct occurred. Include only copies of original documents or court recordings that are relevant to your allegations. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

ON 9-23-10 I REQUESTED A CHANGE OF VENUE, DUE TO INFORMATION
PERTAINING TO CASE WAS IN APACHE COUNTY AND DUE TO THE FACT JUDGE
HAD A DISORDERLY CONDUCT CHARGE BROUGHT AGAINST ME 3
YEARS AGO, I FEEL HE SHOULD HAVE REQUIRED HIMSELF, HOWEVER HE
REFUSED CHANG OF VENUE. I WENT AHEAD WITH TRIAL FEELING THERE
WERE NO OPTIONS, KNOWING THE OUTCOME WOULD BE BIAS. AND DURING
THE TRIAL JUDGE CONTINUED TO MAKE INAPPROPRIATE
COMMENTS, AND TELL LENGTHY STORIES WITH NO RELEVANCE TO CASE.
DURING THE 3 HOUR TRIAL HE REINTERATED WITNESS TESTIMONY
THAT WAS INCORRECT & WITNESS NEEDED TO CORRECT HIM SEVERAL
TIMES FROM GALLERY. HE REFUSED TO ACCEPT ANY "NOTORIZED
AFFIDAVITS" FROM ME THE DEFENDANT. HE APPEARE CONFUSED
AND UNABLE TO PROCESS INFORMATION. HE REFUSED TO ACKNOWLEDGE
ANY PIECES OF EVIDANCE FROM ME, AND AT ONE POINT ACTUALLY
RIPPING DOCUMENTS IN HALF. HE MANIPULATED AND MISS REPRESENTED
MY TESTEMONY, SCOLDING AND BELITTING ME, TELLING ME WHAT
MY MOTIVES WERE. HE AFTER 3 HOURS AND A VERBAL ATTACK FROM
ONE OF THE PLAINTIFFS HE MADE A RULING ON BOTH CASES WITHOUT
HEARING ANY EVIDANCE FROM WITNESSES ON SECOND CASE.
IT WAS CLEAR AS HE VERBALLY ABUSED ME STATING "I WAS NOT
INVITING, MY ACTIONS WERE INAPPROPRIATE" HE LISTENED TO A POOR
TAPE RECORDING FROM PLAINTIFF AND MADE RULING IN BOTH CASES.
HE INTIMIDATED AND MISS REPRESENTED TESTEMONY FROM A CHALLENGED FOSTER
CHILD, CREATING FEAR AND EMOTIONAL CONFUSION. I'M APPEALING
HIS VERDICT AND WILL BE HAPPY TO PROVIDE TRANSCRIBED TRIAL RECORDING
TO VERIFY HIS CONFUSION AND INAPPROPRIATE HANDLING OF THESE CASES.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)