

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 11-026

Complainant: No. 1410810229A

Judge: No. 1410810229B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a justice of the peace was rude, demonstrated bias toward the opposing party, issued incorrect rulings, and impeded his ability to file an appeal by failing to issue a signed order. After reviewing the allegations and listening to the recording of the hearing, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge and decided to dismiss the complaint with a private comment reminding him to issue written rulings to ensure a proper record from which to appeal. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Dated: July 26, 2011.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Dominguez

Louis Frank Dominguez
Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed
to the complainant and the judge
on July 26, 2011.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2011-026

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 01/24/2011

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

In hearings before , he deliberately acted in a truly prejudicial manner, violated statute by imposing
an injunction without merit or evidence to support such, one which was clearly intended to act as a means
of intimidating a witness in another case, and arbitrarily extended the term of the injunction to a length of
THREE TIMES that allowed by statute.

, abusing his office, acted in a manner that was clearly that of an accomplice of the person seeking
an unlawful injunction unsupported by ANY evidence, and used that as means of WILLFULLY imposing as
much harm as possible upon an INNOCENT man.

deliberately engineered a hearing that violated all sense of due process with the intent of using
that hearing as a means of aiding the intimidation of a witness. then deliberately interfered with
my right to appeal by withholding his ruling, ignoring requests for copies of his formal ruling, withholding
transcripts (ex-parte hearings), exceeded his authority, deliberately acted without jurisdiction,
and attempted to usurp the authority of a Superior Court judge in effort to perpetuate and ensure his acts of
malfeasance against an INNOCENT party.

actions not only created a complete lack of confidence in the judiciary, it created a feeling of disdain
for such. He acted without compassion, deliberately prevented me from being heard, willfully violated my right
to examine witnesses, completely violated my right to due process, and then made jokes about it.

His actions were incompetent, without diligence, deliberately uncooperative, biased, prejudicial, and harassing.

SEE ENCLOSED PLEADINGS AND EXHIBITS ON CD-ROM

(Attach additional sheets as needed)