

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-076

Complainant: No. 1438310706A

Judge: No. 1438310706B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge demonstrated bias against her by failing to perform his duties and by denying her requests.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to investigate the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 1, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed
to the complainant and the judge
on June 1, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Complaint to Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct**3/15/12****MAR 19 2012**

Statement of facts describing the Judge conduct are as follows:

In July, 2011 Judge issued a **Temporary Order for Child Custody and Child Support pending submission of Psychological Evaluation** by Petitioner, after much difficulty locating a provider through Cigna that would perform such a service with a written court report. Petitioner was finally able to complete and submitted to the court the end of Oct, 2011.

However, under Judge petitioner was **continually and repeatedly ignored** in her efforts to proceed with a hearing and a change (as deemed advisable per psychological evaluation, as well as recommendation by CPS) in child custody and child support.

Per attached documents, Judge has shown a marked bias against Petitioner and has given Petitioner, undue difficulty at every request. A change of Venue is therefore currently, again, before the court. Plaintiff begs for this change to be granted.

Judge has shown a willfull and persistant failure to perform duties.

Judge conduct has brought the judicial into disrepute.

These facts are verified by the court documents presented as attached.

Thank you so much for your time and consideration in this very important matter.

Most Sincerely submitted,