

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-358

Complainant: No. 1458710463A

Judge: No. 1458710463B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge made so many legal errors in a case as to constitute judicial misconduct and discriminated against another party on the basis of her race.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and various court records, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: January 31, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on January 31, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
 Commission on Judicial Conduct
 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**2012-358****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Your name: _

Judge's name:

Date: 12/24/12

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

- ① JUDGE 12/14/12 RECUSES FROM CASE - CLAIMS DEFENDANTS RIGHTS ENSURED
- ② ETHICAL MISCONDUCT TO RULE DEFENDANTS ON FACE OF AGREEMENT TO MANUFACTURE,
SHIP AND DELIVER IN 8-10 WEEKS - JUDGE CANNOT "READ" - IT SAYS TO "SHIP ONLY."
- ③ ETHICAL MISCONDUCT - JUDGE SAYS PLAINTIFF WAS PROMISED 8-10 WEEK DELIVERY FROM
CHINA - (WHICH IS IMPOSSIBLE) AND NO EMAIL, NOT PART OF AGREEMENT - NOTHING
- ④ ETHICAL MISCONDUCT - JUDGE SAYS PLAINTIFF KNEW NOTHING ABOUT "NO
REFUND ON CUSTOM ORDERS" - PROMISED ENTIRE TRIAL THE "TERMS + CONDITIONS"
WOULD BE HONORED - RULING DENIES "TERMS + CONDITIONS" - ALTHOUGH IN AGREEMENT,
IN EMAIL 2 MONTHS BEFORE ORDER, OMITTED IN PLAINTIFF COMPLAINT, DISCLOSES
ESTIMATED SHIPMENT TIME (JUDGE SAYS TIME SPECIFIC - IN WRITING ESTIMATED).
- ⑤ ETHICAL MISCONDUCT - JUDGE ALLOWED ELIMINATION OF TERMS + CONDITIONS
STATED PLAINTIFF ORDER WAS "NOT CUSTOM" AND PLAINTIFF ALTHOUGH TESTIFIED
THEY AGREED BUT "ONLY IF THINGS WORKED OUT." T+C STATES ALL ORDERS
ARE CUSTOM IN FIRST SENTENCE.
- ⑥ ETHICAL MISCONDUCT TO RULE PLAINTIFF'S ORDER WAS NOT CUSTOM. DEFENDANT
GAVE EMAIL 2 MONTHS BEFORE ORDER ASKING FOR SIZES + COLOR OF EACH
ITEM, THERE ARE NO SIZES OR COLORS ON DEFENDANTS WEBSITE
IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO HAVE AN ORDER WITHOUT CUSTOMIZED SIZES + COLORS.
JUDGE RULED AGAINST DEFENDANTS WITH IMPOSSIBLE FACTS.
- ⑦ JUDGE DELAYED DEFAULTS BEYOND DISCOVERY DATES ALLOWING PLAINTIFF
TO HIDE FACT - WHILE SUIING PLAINTIFF ORDERED AT LEAST 3 CONTAINERS
VIOLATING 34 FEDERAL COPYRIGHT VIOLATIONS AND COMMITTED MULTIPLE
PERJURIES TRYING TO COVER UP THESE VIOLATIONS. JUDGE DENIED
MISTRIAL, CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL TO ALLOW PROPER DEFENSE AND
DENIED ADMITTANCE OF THESE CRIMES AT TRIAL.
- ⑧ JUDGE MADE SO MANY FACTUAL MISTAKES IT IS ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE TO APPEAL.
- ⑨ JUDGE COMMITTED RACIAL DISCRIMINATION AGAINST DEFENDANT

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

(cont) ⑨ At hearing allowing PLAINTIFF to enforce Judgment 1012 id, 358
not answering properly (is from Venezuela and
although speaks good English does not know technical terms, bonds,
trusts, stocks, etc. Judge claims caused her a "bitch"
during a "Debtors hearing" over the phone which is not true.
Judge arrested for contempt when she yawned -
Rolled her eyes and flicked her hair - for two hours. Yorks
Always signed COURT documents but never attended any hearings or
the trial as she does not understand US law and was defaulted
by the Judge although she signed all the documents.

⑩ This was unfair Ruling, unfair Judgment to allow the
Plaintiff to file an incomplete Complaint without the Terms
& Conditions - (T+C was the main issue in Attorney General
Lawsuit - was agreed in writing by PLAINTIFF - was disclosed
by pre-order emails, displayed in the agreement &
websites. Allowed the Plaintiff to delay their deposition
until 2 weeks before trial, would not allow defendants
to enter illegal copyright provable crimes, allowed the
PLAINTIFF to lie and deny these actions, allow the
PLAINTIFF the excuses to Breach the agreement and
omit the "Terms & Conditions" based on "if it worked
out" AND the T+C doesn't apply since my order
was not custom and lie that Plaintiff never read
the T+C until 2 weeks before the trial.

All these constitutes Ethical misconduct, discrimination
AND an unfair Ruling based on impossible conclusions
against a self-represented defendant (the corporate veil
peered) and discrimination against