

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-079

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner was biased against her, deprived her of her constitutional rights, allowed opposing counsel to break the law, and made unfounded rulings in a family law case.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the commissioner's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 19, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 19, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2017-079

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

committed Deprivation of rights under
color of law 18 U.S. Code § 242
without evidence of fact - only provided w/ hearsay
evidence from accuser and his attorney allowed
a child to be kidnapped from his mother via Defamation
via libel and slander and fraud.
For has suffered Retaliation -
Defamation - Dehumanization - because of prejudiced
biased acts of through
their Pattern of practice Title 42 U.S.C. 19141 conspiracy
against rights Title 18 Section 241 have done their
due diligence to rob a mother and child of their
Constitutional rights Rule 2.3 (A)(B)(C) Rule 2.10 (A)
(D)(C) allowing Attorney to break laws
violating rules 4.7 (A)(B) Rule 1.6 Rule 4.4 (A)(B) Rule 8.1
(A)(B) Rule 8.3 (A)(B)(C) Rule 8.4 (A)(B)(C)(D)(E)(F) AS SHE
ALLOWED TO BE TAKEN FROM
HIS MOTHER IN WENT TO A
TOLD THE WAS
MAKING UP ABUSE ALLEGATIONS TO KEEP A FATHER FROM
HIS CHILD TOLD HIM MADE FALSE
AND REPORTS (TO WHAT
SAID IF THESE ARE TRUE OR DENYING THEM
GAVE NO EVIDENCE OF TRUTH OR FACT - ONLY NEGLIGENCE

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2017-079

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

GUARD BY FATHER AND DID NOT
REMOVE BECAUSE THEY DID NOT PROVE STATUTE
MY ATTORNEY SAID SPECIAL ACTION WAS
TO BE FILED AS THEY DID NOT MEET STATUTE & DID NOT
PROVIDE DUE DILIGENCE - VIOLATED MY CIVIL RIGHTS
TOLD SHE WAS GOING TO FILE AND
IN RETALIATION AND TO COVER HERSELF SHE IGNORED
MY EVIDENCE OF NOT BEING DELUSIONAL - STATED I
WAS - REMOVED MY UNSUPERVISED VISITS UNTIL I
COULD BE ASSESSED BY ANOTHER PROFESSIONAL AS SHE
WAS STEPPING DOWN ROTATING OFF MY CASE,
FORCED ME TO OBTAIN AN ATTORNEY AND REFUSED TO
LISTEN TO MY ATTORNEY ONLY SPEAKING WITH
ALL JUDGES IN MY CASE REFUSED TO LOOK AT
ANYTHING I FILED - REFUSED TO LOOK AT MY EVIDENCE
REFUSED TO LISTEN TO ME BECAUSE I HAVE NO
MONEY FOR AN ATTORNEY - ALL ALLOWED
TO BREAK LAWS ABOUT THE LITIGATION
PROCESS WITHOUT PUNISHMENT TO HER MISCONDUCT
SHE CAUSED IRREPARABLE HARM AS MY SON DID NOT
KNOW WHO WAS AFTER NOT BEING ABLE TO SEE HIM FOR
NEW JUDGE REFUSES TO LISTEN TO ME
ME AS A HUMAN ORDERS OF
IMPOSSIBLE TO TURN MY CASE TO COURT