

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-104

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace acted improperly by summarily denying his petition for post-conviction relief in his criminal case. The complainant also alleged another justice of the peace, now retired, improperly relied on perjured testimony during his criminal trial.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judges' rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: June 21, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 21, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2017-104

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Attached is initial grievance against

All pertinent documents (motions)
directed to

are
available and in the possession of
above defendant.

Included are the complete lists
of witnesses for the defense
that were denied in the original
criminal court proceedings.

COPY

Comp ()
2017-104

1 Person Filing:
2 Address: _____
3 City, State, Zip Code:
4 Telephone: _____
5 Representing Self, without Lawyer _____

6
7) CR Number: _____
8 Plaintiff,)
9) Title:
10)
11)
12)

13 (The section below must be written to explain your request).

14 The following indignations are compiled
15 in this grievance against

16
17 This is a culmination of select in-
18 ducements initial to
19 trial then

20 enactina and of
21 and subsequently re-
22 minded back to

23 under the ambit of
24 Herein lies the reason for this matter
25 to be in cessation, as a breach of the
26 Judicial System occurred in

27 'next'

when furnished
in justice by violating defendants 6th
Amendment Rights.

Controversially, the
conducted a child neglect trial
in the same year as held
his. That court was justified in its
findings due to proof, evidence and
the assistance of an adept and
qualified defense attorney in exoner-
ating the defendant of any wrongdoing
in regard to multiple charges of child
neglect.

Legal documents were issued by the
mandating that "NO
FURTHER ACTION" would be sought.
This request for enlightenment by means
of greater judicial endeavors in this
child neglect case may countermand the
repeated answers of "NO FURTHER ACTION"
to all criminal motions stemming
from criminal court to this day.

In the short interim between child
neglect trials in the
the very same three miscreants that
perpetrated the first trial, coordinated
with the
and
bringing additional outlandish charges

totally dissimilar to the original child neglect censures of the first trial and as a result the principles of the courts system are compromised with the perversion of perjury.

Respectfully, it must be known that also in the intervening months between trials, the

was omnipresent and on scheduled duty as to the protocol with.

which substantiates the ability to formulate the list of witnesses that refused access of real life testimony on defendant's behalf.

To elucidate one step further, no representative of the law, that is familiar with this case, wishes to delve where obvious obfuscation is present due entirely to the animus of the

This includes original ^{attorney} and : attorney of record.

The detestable actions of this have been upheld in the thereby constituting an impropriety in the observance of an evidentiary hearing that was able to take place!

months after the original trial.
This formal grievance has pre-
cedential implications and the
where withal to respectfully warrant
an abuse of discretion action.
The quota of injustice has been
met.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2017-104

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _

Judge's Name: _

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Case No. _____

Re: Comblaint aadinst

To: _____

Thank-you for allowing me to clarify
the identity of this

I revert to the reprehensible and
ruthless outburst at the conclusion
of the criminal trial, accusing wanton
neglect on my part, on

accuses blatant
lying from the children's mother and
myself. after hearing only testimony
from women whose credibility
could have very well been defiled
if the trial was not weighted pro-
foundly in favor of the prosecution
and conducted in such a manner that
the defendant's guilt went unchallenged.
Exculpatory evidence was never
introduced, making a moot
situation and leaving one sentence
remaining, seemingly irrevocable.
The contention is that

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2017-104

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions. Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

baseless decision combined with depreate demeanor has formed the foundation that has attributed to the many atrocities that have plagued the ability to convince the legal system that this particular trial was convoluted in such a way that witnesses for the state posed such a treacherous travesty fraught with such a magnitude of perjury that to this day, judges are willing to disregard.

Thank-You