

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 17-128

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against her, ignored evidence, and made improper rulings in a family law proceeding.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Peter J. Eckerstrom did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: July 17, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 17, 2017.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2017-128

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name

_____ **Judge's Name**

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Please see copy of
evidence and recordings.

2017 - 128

Case No.

Case No.

Each Record is in numerical order and separated by a piece of paper clearly indicating each record number.

RESPONDENTS SEPARATE PRETRIAL STATEMENT

LETTER DATED TO JUDGE

ME ORDER ENTERED BY COURT

ME ORDER ENTERED BY COURT

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERTION

ME CASE STATUS MINUTE ENTRY

LETTER DATED TO JUDGE

REQUEST FOR IMMEDIATE HEARING RE: TEMPORARY

ORDERS OF CUSTODY DUE TO CHILD ENDANGERMENT

ME CASE STATUS MINUTE ENTRY

RESPONDENTS SEPARATE PRETRIAL STATEMENT AND MY

AFFIDAVIT OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION

RESPONDENTS CLOSING ARGUMENTS

CHILD SUPPORT WORKSHEET

RESPONDENTS REQUEST FOR APPROVAL AND COURT ORDER
OF MARITAL PROPERTY PURCHASE

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

FROM

COURT TO THE COURT

ME ORDER ENTERED BY COURT

ME ENTERED BY COURT 10/24/16

NOTICE OF FILING PETITION FOR MODIFICATION OF LEGAL
DECISION MAKING

PETITION TO MODIFY LEGAL DECISION MAKING PARENTING
TIME AND CHILD SUPPORT

PARENTING PLAN JOINT LEGAL DECISION MAKING

ME ORDER ENTERED BY COURT

REQUEST FOR ORDER GRANTING OR DENYING LEGAL
DECISION MAKING HEARING

FROM

COURT TO THE COURT

ALSO INCLUDED:

-FINAL COURT ORDER DATED

-EXHIBITS # 2 AND #6 THAT WERE SUBMITTED BY ME AT THE
HEARING DATE ON

-ELECTRONIC INDEX OF RECORD

-AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDING FOR HEARING DATES OF AND

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**