

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 17-263

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge issued an improper ruling and denied her due process of law.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton, Peter J. Eckerstrom, Art Hinshaw and Diane M. Johnsen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: November 8, 2017

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Margaret H. Downie  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Margaret H. Downie  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 8, 2017.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

Comp  
9-25-17  
17-263

**From:**  
**To:**  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** FW: Judicial Ethics  
**Date:**

---

Dear COJC MEMBERS

A judge shall, uphold and promote the independence, integrity, and impartial of the **judiciary** and shall avoid impropriety and the impropriety pursuant to the Code of **Judicial Conduct Cannon 1.**

I, \_\_\_\_\_ undersigned, submit this complaint against \_\_\_\_\_ in his official capacity as a \_\_\_\_\_ or denying me due process of law and maliciously making improper rulings.

On \_\_\_\_\_ ruled, modified my visitations without holding an evidentiary hearing violated my due process after hearing testimony from a state witness. Serveral other due process rights, procedural including an adequate hearing were insufficiently notice which constitutes a violation of my due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

Supreme Court Rule 81, Arizona Judicial Conduct Cannon 2  
the Pro Term intentionally denied;  
Rule 2.2. Impartiality and Fairness;  
Rule 2.3. Bias, Prejudice, and Harassment;  
Rule 2.4. External Influences on Judicial Conduct;  
Rule 2.5. Competence, Diligence, and Cooperation;  
Rule 2.6. Ensuring the Right to be Heard;  
Rule 2.7. Responsibility to Decide;  
Rule 2.10. Judicial Statements on Pending and Impending Cases;  
Rule 2.12. Supervisory Duties  
Rule 2.16. Cooperation

In conclusion, \_\_\_\_\_ has ignored the best interest of \_\_\_\_\_ by failing at every appeal in this case to enforce the ruling, rather specifically by pressing so hard for the continuation of this case in the face of actual evidence that continues to prolonged permanency away from me, which has harm my \_\_\_\_\_ prior to positive home results from \_\_\_\_\_ Including forming a fixed opinion continues to harm my family. Constitutionally violates my due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment and right to fair evidentiary standards: preponderance of evidence.

Executed

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Respectfully Submitted

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_