

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 17-303

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace failed to timely rule on motions and improperly entered a judgment on a debt that had been included in a bankruptcy.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: February 7, 2018

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Margaret H. Downie

Margaret H. Downie  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 7, 2018.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

Comp

2017-303

NOV 09 2017

AZ Judicial Review

1501 W. Washington Street #229

Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re:

Please consider this as a complaint against the court and

This case was filed needlessly and in error by

The Plaintiff/Creditor in this case was included in

Proof of which was presented to the named over and over.

Said and Plaintiff's attorney would not acknowledge. sought to file against Defendant's spouse (who does not exist) instead served the landlord.

Plaintiff failed to register a lien on the at the time as well.

Court set a hearing for said case but Defendant had taken on a new position and could not physically attend.

Defendant requested that the hearing be done telephonically but the court failed to respond in a timely manner therefore making the Defendant look as if she failed with the communication which became another court error.

Without knowing that an entry had been filed against the Defendant the court allowed a Judgment to be entered.

Every Motion filed with the court was never responded to and if so it took months.

has no jurisdiction over the

The Defendant, has been treated unfairly by this the Attorney for the Plaintiff and seeks to contact the with this matter.

Cc:

