

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 17-314

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged a superior court judge (now retired) improperly denied their appeal of a civil traffic matter.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: February 7, 2018

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Margaret H. Downie

Margaret H. Downie

Executive Director

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 7, 2018.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

Dear Sir or Madam,

I could not get your complaint form to save so I am sending you an e-mail. I hope I include all of the pertinent information but if something is missing please contact me.

Complaint Against a Judge

Name: Judge:

Name:

Mailing Address:

Cell Phone:

Judge's Name: Location:

Court:

Did you have a case before this judge: NO

Case Number:

List Attorneys: N/a

Witness: Phone:

I do understand that the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

**I affirm, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the allegations contained in the attached complaint are true.**

Dear Sir or Madam,

My complaint concerns a case in which my wife was the defendant. As a witness at the trial I was appalled at the civil rights violations my wife endured during her trial. Having concluded that justice was not done in her case, the seems to have absolutely no concept of judicial propriety, she appealed her ruling to the . Among other problems with the trial, the copy we received of the Court proceedings was incomplete and altered. We filed a complaint with the office about this and it was investigated by a detective who referred it to the department, who, of course, has a vested interest in making sure the cash keeps flowing from the This officer listened to the original recording and

concluded that, yes it was changed but that the changes were inconsequential to my wife's case. I was never able to find out where he obtained his legal degree to reach such a conclusion.

As we are not able to afford an attorney, which seems to be the only thing that interests the court, we carefully crafted our [redacted] and detailed a long litany of Constitutional violations which we felt deserved an honest examination by the [redacted]. As lay people we had no way of citing cases and in our [redacted] we asked the Court to respect this fact, that the Court itself should know any precedents which applied and stated that we were counting upon the Court to protect her rights. What a huge mistake! We submitted a [redacted] which documented 11 separate arguments on rights violations, facts of the case and the trial Judge's inappropriate behavior. I have attached that [redacted] here. We expected a ruling which, if not in my wife's favor, would at least detail the Court's arguments against each of our points. What we got was and I quote,

Unpersuaded of what? The facts of the case? The fact that a Constitution exists? The fact that the Court is bound by this Constitution to respect and protect the rights of the individuals which come before it? [redacted] addressed none of our arguments. None. He didn't try to defend the conduct of the trial Judge as it was indefensible. He didn't address our Constitutional arguments because it would have meant shutting down or drastically reforming the [redacted] system. He didn't address anything.

In conclusion, if a citizen cannot come to the [redacted] the lowest rung in the Court system, and get a fair hearing what does that say about the entire justice system. Our arguments were never considered. Not at trial and not on [redacted]. It's not that my wife didn't get a fair hearing, she wasn't heard at all. As a witness of not only my wife's trial but several other cases which were heard on the same day it is my independent, unbiased opinion that [redacted] Court is fixed. The company which is in charge of [redacted] acts as Prosecutor, Witness, never has to enter any item in evidence, and works so closely with the judge he might just as well take that title also. The system is so biased against all defendants it is blatantly unconstitutional. I must ask why do the rules of the Constitution not apply in [redacted]