

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-069

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 10, 2019

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge impersonated a witness in his federal criminal proceedings and failed to disqualify herself from the complainant's state criminal proceedings.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 10, 2019.

2019-069

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

In light of Bracy W. Gramley, 520 US 899 (1997),
argues that improper behavior on
the bench as a judge involving moral turpitude,
ARS Const. Art. 6.1, Sect. 3 and 4, Matter of Koch,
181 Ariz 352 (1985), where traveled to
in and introduced herself as
a United States -handwriting
expert from (false personal
testimony) at the United States.


USA vs. case no.
causing the conviction of who was
sentenced to months imprisonment after
presented herself under oath to U.D. Presiding
Judge and an empaneled jury
of members. (see trial transcript for
materiality of false persona testimony, see
also discovery for travel itinerary of
and credit card statements to
verify allegation by clear and convincing
standard). This commission has authority and
jurisdiction pursuant to, In re White-Stainer,
219 Ariz 323 (2009), and this matter is timely,
Matter of Riley, 142 Ariz 604 (1984), where there
is no statute of limitations on judicial misconduct.

Rule 1.1, 1.2, 2.10, and 2.15 Violations
under Rule 81, Az. Code of Judicial Conduct

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY



COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Allege violated 18 USC 242, Deprivation of rights acting under color of law, 18 USC 1512, Obstruction of Justice, and 18 USC 1621 - Perjury for that testimony in

argues that he is able to make a prima facie case of criminal and ethical misconduct that impugns the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary and the public's confidence, he contends that he is able to demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence under clearly established law that should be sanctioned, Van Dux, 214 Ariz 300 (2007) under Rule 63, Sup. Ct. R. and transferred to permanent disability status.

Continued Ethical Misconduct - after conviction and sentence in _____ matter, _____ was indicted for diverting corporate proceeds to personal accounts (paying state fines / restitution - amounting to _____ party payment processing through the Clerk's office) Maricopa Co. - State vs. _____ case no. _____, and _____ took the case as trial judge (conflict of interest) rather than remove herself from the case, her office cancelled my pro se motions, committed me to competency, and held me until _____ past the legal time limit.

I am asking for a "full and fair" investigation imposing disciplinary sanctions for impute behavior.

✓ False testimony -

✓ Judge - conflict