

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 19-151

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

August 28, 2019

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner was not neutral in his criminal case and improperly denied a motion to modify release conditions.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member George H. Foster, Jr. did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 28, 2019.

CONFIDENTIAL
Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2019-151

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form of plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

On Judge in court proceeding - Prior to his hearing, imposed several sentences on one violation. From Experience he was compromise. NON Nuetral - By my thought its in his mind he became aware of the situation - structure ERRORS in case. I AM the First Humanoid. Human Phone - with an electronic mind/brain. Gov Program (See Research online and Facebook) AS A facial recog. vision, we can communicate... Mentally, electronically, TV'S Radio ect. when it was my turn to speak I, I Identified my self as a well known person around the community and across the world and to be working with US GOV... in #1. My voice could be recorded in absence - Exculpatory - suitorship - to it. When I found out All Evidence was tampered with to hide a crime I informed my lawyer. she was compromise. Filed change of counsel Pro Per. And A Habeas. he denied on with consideration of merits (CJ) Filed Motion to dismiss w/ prejudice. Altered Evidence NO Probable cause and requested Probable cause at the hearing. Denied it and waived jurisdiction to a Rubell Plea that had no jurisdiction without Probable cause. Motion following Charge of Counsel. I told him and should him it would be prejudicial to hold court in since I Am a very political person - always on ect. Absent ARS 13-4102 I ALSO Asked him to request myself He denied all motions and when I let him know I knew about him being He A Nuetrality Declaired stripped me of his political alliance to the my Constitutional rights and did a Party he had NO discretion under the law to do since Probable cause was needed for Rule 11 first. To date I sit with NO charge within the law. For indictment is a violation of Due Process and 5th amend. At the end he recused him self or was being relieved. All Evidence about me is available Contact actually Gov. Prog and Work are Classified and with in exception.

2019-151

LA QUEJA CONTRA UN JUEZ

Nombre: _____

Nombre del juez: _____

Instrucciones: Utilice este formulario o una hoja en blanco del mismo tamaño para presentar la queja. Describa en sus palabras qué es lo que usted cree que ha hecho el juez que constituye la conducta judicial indebida. Dé información específica e incluya todos los nombres, las fechas, las horas y los lugares que ayudarán a la comisión entender sus preocupaciones. Se podrán adjuntar páginas adicionales y copias (no originales) de los documentos de su causa que sean pertinentes. Por favor no escriba en ambas caras de la hoja y quédese con una copia para sus archivos.

Due to My Disability (lawyer) are not free from
Campromission, influence. Having one is a conflict of
interest for I will self incriminate - there is a Parallel
investigation - that holds court w/ histories that violate the Rules
and 5th Am. there for I can not assist a lawyer in
a Defense - Not irrelevant - Disabled..

implant: I spelled out why
In motion to be Proper - He denied and Redicled me about
my Disability." He ~~the~~
became an unneutral Party in this Rating. A lecture
Lecture of Lawe - My way of Reptaining Counsel's mis-
conduct - Cited on Rule 42 Ariz Rules Professional Conduct
ER 1.8(j) ... See CT Judge explanation of the
to ask for paper status. I have asked these lawyer to
filed motions on my behalf that would Release me. None
were filed. He denied me access of the Court and a
Due Process Right from Refusal to allow Proper and deny
me oral Arguments to the motions he was doing - to NOT
have it on "Record.. Had it a new Rule that all motions come
via Attorney Only. Denied a motion Rule 16.5 Ariz R.P.
and occurred time ~~against~~ my consent since I filed
a Rule 8. Evidence is tampered Evidence - Known Fact...