

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-012

Judge:

Complainant:

**AMENDED
ORDER**

March 29, 2023

The Commission having been made aware of a typographical error in its Order dismissing the complaint dated March 31, 2021,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED amending the Disposition of Complaint number from "20-012" to 21-012.

The remainder of the order remains in full force and effect.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 29, 2023.

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 20-012

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

March 31, 2021

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him, improperly upheld a protective order, and improperly dismissed a civil lawsuit.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 31, 2021.

Complaint Against Judge ;

Thank you,

I have been riding the city bus here in for over now.

employee behavior toward the public is horrendous to say the least. I have also become aware of their misconduct and corruption from top to bottom.

After finally having enough of their activity and unlawful actions, I sued them.

That's when and safety manager put together a plan to protect themselves, and proceeded to make up roughly lies about me and sent them to Judge

asking for a workplace harassment injunction against me. The purpose of this was to make it look as if I was the one doing the wrong.

First, immediately grants the injunction request never considering any evidence or if I had a side of the story.

The injunction request also stated that if I were to violate this injunction, that I would be arrested. This meant that I had to let know that everything that he was told by the officials was not true, and that I needed to get the injunction lifted. I also knew that if someone made up lies about me, they are capable of making up to get me arrested.

The hearing took place

The following is what happened :

Because most of and manager allegations about me were said to have happened " ; I planned to prove that they had lied about me.

My plan was to list in front of me their most serious allegations, then I finally got to confront about his statements on me.

I then asked if busses are equipt with surveillance cameras on each and every ride. then began stuttering and repeatedly paused in silence, finally answering " '.

I then said to "so then, you will have no problem bringing forth the video of these incidents for the court to see". After I read a few more his allegations against me, I asked him the same question. Next, after "catching in a lie"..... I said to "there you go".

Then their lawyers acting like they had something to bring against me, played phone conversations which literally amounted to nothing. But why would you bring that as evidence but not bring all the other evidence of their most serious allegations against me?

Then the takes the stand and tells the court of incidents where the busses had to pull to the side of the road because I was being disruptive.

I then employed the same strategy as I used on allegations against me....."you do have the surveillance video to show us, right?".....[apparently not].

After I embarrassed both of them [and their lawyers] for just then was ready to render his decision..... He said the following :

"Wellbecause { | has said these things about you,...I am

going to rule in their favor".

???????

My Second complaint on _____ :

As I previously mentioned,I am suing _____ .

Predictably, _____ made a motion to the court to dismiss the case against them citing a _____ statute of limitations.

Shortly after their motion, I received a dismissal notice signed by _____ citing the _____ day statute of limitations.....The lawsuit that I brought against _____ actually falls under the "Continuing violations doctrine", eliminating the _____ day limit to file.

_____ figuring that I didn't know of the doctrine, was protecting his friends again.

Getting back to the " _____ ' injunction that _____ granted to his friends at _____ .

Within _____ of _____ upholding of _____ " _____ ' injunction request, predictably, a _____ employee lied about me which led to me being arrested due to upholding their injunction request. The arrest was because of _____ siding with his friends and not upholding the oath that he swore to the Constitution when he became a judge and by doing his job honestly.

I did write a letter to _____ mentioning all the above, and let him know I will be making a complaint on him for his bias decisions, and not upholding the Constitution.

Thank you for this complaint letter,

RECEIVED

COURT		REQUEST:
Plaintiff v.	Case No.	HEARING DISMISS ORDER CANCEL HEARING AND ORDER
Defendant		OP/IAH/IAWH Issue Date: ___/___/___ (mm/dd/ccyy)

Defendant requests: a hearing.
 that the Court cancel the hearing requested by the defendant in this case.
 that the Court continue the scheduled hearing on ___/___/___ (Date).

- If the Plaintiff is:
- a current or former spouse, or
 - someone with whom you live or lived intimately, or
 - the child of an intimate partner, or
 - a parent of your child

AND the Order remains in effect or is changed after the hearing,

It may be unlawful for you to possess or purchase a firearm or ammunition until the order expires (one year after the service of the original order). By making this request, even if you fail to appear for the hearing, the prohibition against you possessing or purchasing firearms or ammunition may apply. If you have any questions about whether federal law under 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8) makes it illegal for you to possess or purchase a firearm, you should consult an attorney.

Plaintiff requests:
 the protective order listed above be dismissed.
 that the Court cancel the hearing set prior to the issuance of the protective order in this case.
 that the Court continue the scheduled hearing on ___/___/___ (Date).

List the reasons for your request:

I RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT THE COURT DENY PLAINTIFFS MOTION OF INSUNCTION, THE CASE IS PREMISED ON FALSE STATEMENTS TO WHICH THERE IS NO EVIDENCE. DEFENDANT HAS EVIDENCE TOTALLY CONTRADICTING ALL OF PLAINTIFFS ALLEGATIONS.

Date

Requesting Person's Signature

Please inform court staff if interpreter services are needed for this hearing. Yes, I need interpreter services for _____

Address and telephone number if Defendant is the requesting party.

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMITTAL

Copy mailed provided personally to Plaintiff on ___/___/___ by _____
 Copy mailed provided personally to Defendant on ___/___/___ by _____

IN THE COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
Presiding Judge

Date: _____

MINUTE ENTRY

In the Matter of:

Plaintiff,

and

Defendant.

Cause No.

ACTION: Hearing on Contested Order of Protection

APPEARANCES: _____ and _____ are present and appearing telephonically on behalf of the Plaintiff. Defendant is present and appearing *in propria persona*.

Court is in session.

The Defendant presents statements.

The Plaintiff presents statements and moves for the admission of Exhibits through _____

IT IS ORDERED admitting Exhibits through _____

The Plaintiff calls _____ to the stand.

The Witness is sworn and testifies on direct examination, cross, redirect, and is excused.

The Plaintiff calls _____

The Witness is sworn and testifies on direct examination, cross, and is excused.

Plaintiff Counsel presents statements regarding harassment since the Order was issued, and requests permission to play audio and submit transcripts later.

There being no objection, **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Audio is played in open Court.

Counsel and Defendant present closing arguments.

The Court places findings on the record.

IT IS ORDERED *affirming* the Injunction Against Harassment and the Orders therein.

Court is adjourned.

cc: