

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-057

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 7, 2021

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner violated his rights to record a court proceeding, denied him the use of a disability aid, and failed to timely rule on a motion.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Christopher W. Ames and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 7, 2021.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-057

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ **Judge's Name:** _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Please see attached affidavit/complaint/request

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

--

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ **Judge's Name:** _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Case Numbers: (Incident)

(Dismissed) Bite One

(Dismissed) Bite Two

(Active)

Third Bite at the apple

Declination Number:

Criminal Complaint #

This is a narrative for complaint against The Courts of State of Arizona, and for several Judges of the and Courts in particular for an infringement of the Rights of the Petitioner and is a request for an injunctive relief from the Court of Arizona to freely engage in protected rights and activities.

All testimony given here is taken from the petitioner's Video Record.

On The Petitioner was walking down in of Arizona with his camera taking pictures and recording the flags and signs. and run/own/operate the Official President collectables franchise in Arizona. The Petitioner asked the for a snarky t-shirt, when they stated they didn't have that design of shirt the Petitioner thanked the and left. The proceeded to follow and stalk the Petitioner through the neighborhood, with assaulting the Petitioner and pushing him into traffic and moving truck, twisting his ankle as she pushed him off the sidewalk into the street. All on video. The called the on the Petitioner to get his identification so they could engage in vexatious litigation. Of course the Petitioner gave the his Identification, under duress, even stating on video, he didn't want the to get his name for

fear of vexatious litigation. The [redacted] gave the [redacted] the petitioners information. A violation of the petitioner's fourth amendment rights.

[redacted] the Petitioner is walking down [redacted] again with his cameras, documenting which businesses are allowed to be open by the [redacted] in opposition to Arizona [redacted] pandemic shut down order that was in place at that time. [redacted] stated publicly that he wouldn't be enforcing the [redacted] Pandemic Shutdown Order. The Petitioner was photographing/recording the only business allowed to be open at that time. The [redacted] Official [redacted] Collectable store. The Petitioner clearly states in the video, "Notice she approached me". Which [redacted] did and promptly assaulted the Petitioner by striking his camera. She even states in the video, "I no touch you I touch your Camera". First thing Officer [redacted] says to the petitioner is "I'm going to finish you". The Petitioner now has the body camera footage of Officer [redacted] and the [redacted] conspiring to engage the petitioner in vexatious litigation, and [redacted] directly stating a threat on the Petitioner's life to Officer [redacted] "I would like to just take out my gun and shoot him and be done with it". (Judge [redacted] did not see this threat in a video presented to the court in [redacted] Judge [redacted] did in [redacted]). The videos taken on these [redacted] were given to the [redacted] Officer [redacted] when the petitioner filed a victim statement, along with a copy of the petitioner's swollen ankle that was injured (twisted) on [redacted] when [redacted] Pushed the Petitioner in front of [redacted] moving truck. See the videos. The [redacted] were already given the petitioner's personal information by the [redacted] before this date [redacted]. See the videos.

The petitioner's videos were used for the [redacted] first injunction of Harassment against the petitioner ([redacted] (Dismissed) Bite One). This case was seen in front of Judge [redacted] in [redacted] and [redacted] of [redacted]. On [redacted] in the middle of cross examination of the witness, Officer [redacted] Judge [redacted] interrupted the petitioner in his questioning of the witness, dismissed Officer [redacted] and then promptly dismissed the case. Judge [redacted] violated the petitioner's right to make a record of the trial/hearing according to the Rules of Court, Arizona Rule 122 and 122.1 and a complaint was filed by the petitioner against Judge [redacted] then. This is a further of that complaint because Judge [redacted] having seen the video evidence already has been further

delaying justice for the petitioner by denying him his rights. SO to repeat, Judge [redacted] dismissed the Injunction of Harassment the [redacted] placed against the petitioner on [redacted]. Judge [redacted] is the presiding judge in the criminal case [redacted] (Incident [redacted]) when Officer [redacted] will be called to testify over the same issue Judge [redacted] dismissed him for right in the middle of cross examination by the petitioner and now "defendant" for criminal charges. [redacted] (Incident [redacted]) Same evidence. The Petitioner's intellectual property. Judge [redacted] has already seen the evidence and ruled on it. Interrupted the petitioner's questioning of a witness that will be called in front of her again for the same thing only in a criminal trial. Being postponed nearly for a [redacted] Misdemeanor Harassment, where [redacted] has already dismissed the Civil Harassment injunction. This is just done to prolong the harassment against the petitioner. Vexatious litigants engaged in vexatious litigation. Judge [redacted] is allowing the harassment knowingly.

[redacted]. The petitioner is walking down a different part of approx [redacted] from where the [redacted] reported their business in the dismissed injunction and previous incidents, with his cameras, taking pictures of newly placed campaign signs for senate, president, and sheriff etc.

From the court documents in the second injunction ([redacted] (Dismissed) Bite Two), "I saw him walking down the street with his cameras so i put my hand on my gun to send him a message and then realized why the children were scared". The complaint is against the judges and the Arizona court that some [redacted] Court Judge read that quote from her affidavit of pulling a gun on a citizen for cameras, her terrorizing children in the process, and felt that it was a good idea to grant an injunction of harassment against an individual with a clear threat of bodily harm with a gun to that individual. The Petitioner. After it was shown in court that the petitioner was assaulted [redacted] by the [redacted] different Judges of the [redacted] Court of [redacted] read the direct threat of violence with a firearm against the petitioner and thought it was a good idea to grant that injunction and send him the message. Clearly making the Courts of Arizona, [redacted] the Delivery Agency for that threat of violence with a gun against the petitioner. The petitioner was not aware of the threat to his life with a gun until the [redacted] Court of Arizona delivered that threat with an armed [redacted] County [redacted] officer. So the petitioner filed an injunction of Harassment against the

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**