

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 21-061

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

June 30, 2021

The Complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace denied him the use of a disability aid and conducted court hearings in his absence.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Louis Frank Dominguez and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 30, 2021.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2021-061

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Judge's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Please see attached narrative.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

--

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Judge's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.



Case Numbers: (Incident . )

(Dismissed) Bite One  
(Dismissed) Bite Two  
(Active)  
Third Bite at the apple

Declination Number:

Police Complaint #

This is a narrative for complaint against The Courts of . State of Arizona, and for Judges of the and Courts in particular for an infringement of the Rights of the Petitioner and is a request for an injunctive relief from the Court of Arizona to freely engage in protected rights and activities.

All testimony given here is taken from the petitioner's Video Record.

On The Petitioner was walking down in of Arizona with his camera taking pictures and recording the flags and signs. and run/own/operate the Official collectables franchise in Arizona. The Petitioner asked the for a snarky t-shirt, when they stated they didn't have that design of shirt the Petitioner thanked the and left. The proceeded to follow and stalk the Petitioner through the neighborhood, with assaulting the Petitioner and pushing him into traffic and moving truck, twisting his ankle as she pushed him off the sidewalk into the street. All on video. The called the on the Petitioner to get his identification so they could engage in vexatious litigation. Of course the Petitioner gave the his Identification, under duress, even stating on video, he didn't want the to get his name for

fear of vexatious litigation. The [redacted] gave the [redacted] the petitioners information. A violation of the petitioner's fourth amendment rights.

[redacted], the Petitioner is walking down [redacted] again with his cameras, documenting which businesses are allowed to be open by the [redacted] in opposition to Arizona [redacted] pandemic shut down order that was in place at that time. [redacted] stated publicly that he wouldn't be enforcing the [redacted] Pandemic Shutdown Order. The Petitioner was photographing/recording the only business allowed to be open at that time. The [redacted] Official [redacted] Collectable store. The Petitioner clearly states in the video, "[redacted]". Which [redacted] did and promptly assaulted the Petitioner by striking his camera. She even states in the video, "[redacted]". First thing Officer [redacted] says to the petitioner is "[redacted]". The Petitioner now has the body camera footage of Officer [redacted] and the [redacted] conspiring to engage the petitioner in vexatious litigation, and [redacted] directly stating a threat on the Petitioner's life to Officer [redacted] "[redacted]". (Judge [redacted] did not see this threat in a video presented to the court in [redacted], Judge [redacted] did in [redacted]). The videos taken on these [redacted] were given to the [redacted] when the petitioner filed a victim statement, along with a copy of the petitioner's swollen ankle that was injured (twisted) on [redacted] when [redacted] Pushed the Petitioner in front of [redacted] moving truck. See the videos. The [redacted] were already given the petitioner's personal information by the [redacted] before this date [redacted]. See the videos.

The petitioner's videos were used for the [redacted] first injunction of Harassment against the petitioner ([redacted] (Dismissed) Bite One). This case was seen in front of Judge [redacted] in [redacted] and [redacted] of [redacted]. On [redacted] in the middle of cross examination of the witness, [redacted] Judge [redacted] interrupted the petitioner in his questioning of the witness, dismissed [redacted] and then promptly dismissed the case. Judge [redacted] violated the petitioner's right to make a record of the trial/hearing according to the Rules of Court, Arizona Rule 122 and 122.1 and a complaint was filed by the petitioner against Judge [redacted] then. This is a further of that complaint because Judge [redacted], having seen the video evidence already has been further

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**