

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-075

Judges:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 21, 2021

The Complainant alleged three appellate court judges improperly denied his petition for review.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Delia R. Neal and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 21, 2021.

CASE - No. #

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT

" STATE OF ARIZONA "

1501 WEST WASHINGTON STREET

SUITE 229 #

PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

COMPLAINT AGAINST:

STATE OF ARIZONA

ARIZONA

" CASE NUMBER ID "

" JUDICIAL COMMISSION "

(PAGE 1)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CASE No:

FILED BY:

MR.

MR.

Phone Numbers

1.

County

Courts

HONORABLE

Commissioner

2.

Court

STATE OF ARIZONA (

PROTEST JUDGE

Judge

3.

County

Courts

Commissioner

(PAGE 2)

1 CASE No. 3

2

3 4. - " "

4 COURT STATE OF ARIZONA ()

5 PRO-TEM JUDGE

6 (TRANSFER TO): v

7 5. - " "

8 COURT STATE OF ARIZONA ()

9 JUDGE ; JUDGE ; JUDGE "

10

11 6. -> " "

12 - ARIZONA STATE COURTS "

13 PRESIDING JUDGE "

14 CASE IS NOW AWAITING REVIEW IN THE

15 ARIZONA STATE COURTS,

16 (PETITION FOR REVIEW...)

17

18 I UNDERSTAND THE COMMISSIONER MAY NOT

19 BE ABLE TO REVERSE A COURT ORDER, OR "ASSIGN"

20 A "NEW JUDGE" TO A CASE...

21

22

23

24

25

26 (PAGE 3)

27

28

1 CASE No. 5

2

3 I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE
4 FOREGOING INFORMATION, AND FACTS I HAVE
5 PROVIDED UPON WHICH MY ALLEGATIONS OF
6 JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT ARE BASED ARE TRUE,
7 AND CORRECT, TO THE BEST OF MY "KNOWLEDGE"
8 AND "BELIEF."

9

10 BY:

11 MR.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

(PAGE 4)

27

28

CASE No. 3

ARTICLE 6-1, OF THE ARIZONA CONSTITUTION
CREATED THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT, TO
SERVE AS AN INDEPENDENT STATE AGENCY. THE
COMMISSION'S PURPOSE IS TO INVESTIGATE COMPLAINTS
ABOUT "UNETHICAL CONDUCT" BY "JUDICIAL OFFICERS",
AND TO "PROSECUTE WHEN APPROPRIATE," "FORMAL
CHARGES OF "ALLEGED" JUDICIAL CONDUCT.

THE "COMMISSION HAS THE AUTHORITY AND JURISDICTION
OVER ALL "JUDICIAL OFFICERS" IN THIS STATE OF
ARIZONA, AND OTHER STATES, INCLUDING THE
COURT COURTS, AND
COURT, WHETHER FULL-
TIME, OR PART-TIME, THIS AUTHORITY AND
JURISDICTION "EXTENDS" TO "RETIRED"
JUDICIAL OFFICERS FOR CONDUCT THAT "OCCURRED"
DURING THE TIME THEY SERVE AS A JUDGE.

JUDICIAL-MIS CONDUCT, INVOLVES "CONDUCT" THAT
VIOLATES THE STANDARDS SET-FORTH IN THE
ARIZONA "CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT," SOME
EXAMPLES ARE AS FOLLOWS:

(PAGE 3)

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**