

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-082

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

August 25, 2021

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to make timely rulings, improperly allowed a lien to be re-recorded against his property, and improperly dismissed his civil lawsuit.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 25, 2021.

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Comp
2021-082

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

2021-082

From: .
To: .
Sent: .
Attach: IMG_0004.jpg
Subject: Teeth



From: " " < >
To: " " < >
Cc: " " < >
Sent: < >
Subject: Rewritten and resubmitted motion for clarity and evidenti hearing request

Delivered to the Court of County on

Court of County

Plaintiff

V,

State of Arizona, Defendant

Response to Defendants attorney submissions

In the courts minute entry order of both parties were ordered by your courts minute entry to join in the joint establishment (under rule 16) a Joint Report and Proposed Scheduling Order, to be fulfilled immediately. Unfortunately over a period of some following following your courts demand minute entry attorney failed to reasonably communicate with the plaintiff and arrange to meet with him despite the plaintiff's several Text messages, Emails and Voice Mail messages; including his elongated trip out of last wherefore the voice mails (which were confirmed by his office to have been forwarded to him automatically by electronic means) were met without response and have remained unanswered without any cooperation by for unspecified reasons.

When attorney returned to last the Plaintiff again called attorney requesting the formal scheduling of this requested and much needed joint meeting documentation whereby he refused to make an appointment and followed up with a series of ungodly expletives which did not create an atmosphere of congeniality. I followed up by trying to catch him in a better later whereby he again continued to berate me for interfering with his work schedule responsibilities at which time I hung up on him and advised him that I would notify the court about his indifference in meeting the courts minute entry demands and that there would be no further communications by me with him - the following there was no belated though expected, cooperation by

I then hand delivered my motion (and complaint) to your court while simultaneously requesting (the dismissal of this superfluous, (frivolous) and possibly ' move for the reinstatement of a post, and already legally released TEFRA lien, along with copies of the properly documented release forms already submitted () by the State of Arizona dept. to the County recorders office by the AZ/ duly authorized administrators and onwards to all legal recording agencies in the County Recorders records section for the recording of any legal decisions wherefore the plaintiff being unable to locate any further contrary or opposing legal adjudications anywhere in the County legal court system or by any other Arizona court and/or judge of proper ex-post facto jurisdiction) being ordered and/or obtained by any other court or judge's minute entry authorizing this absurd act of reauthorizing an already released lien being reposted without a reissued filed motion which I consider to have been created in an atmosphere illegality seemingly as a ploy to by-pass the Arizona/ decision which counsel has disagreed with later after the AZ/ agencies actions of fact.

FYI: In the past a family, distant relatives of my wife visiting on the way to stated through a speaking relative, that my wife, (who's whereabouts have been unknown to me for out of the because of our long separation since my legal entanglements my legal complications arose (i.e. as per personal disagreements with his AZ/ employer on actions and decisions made

) and an unraveling marriage because my wife is/was in waiting for me to send for her and attend the associated immigration problems had meanwhile themselves, as to the why and how things were processed and closed problems manifested themselves in was in a dilapidated clinics hallway somewhere in with symptoms and I was told there was a need for a respirator that could be obtained in the black market for \$ () which has put my into a nuclear meltdown mode and has me VERY concerned for her well-being and and my sanity (hospital medical records are now in your courts hands having been sent with my last submitted appeal) as a victim of the blatant act of injustice which has not shown its face since

Note: My wife was defrauded of some \$ in our apartment proceeds that were to be sent to me by to fund immigration costs and services plus travel expenses, but it appears this ' agent (Her step brother) found better things to do with the money, thus I believe this ploy to obtain the respirator is not on the up and up - money that I do not have and is being help up by this cases litigation process.

My ex-attorney asked me to request an Evidentiary Hearing to confirm the validity of all AZ/ requested and delivered documents by he and I as has the court inclined to believe by his previous communications with the court that I did not, or refused to deliver, all the documents as requested by AZ/ and even insinuated in one of his submissions to the court that I had actually lied and refused (another lie) to provide the state with the requested documentary evidence in this matter and that my attorney probably submitted a false affidavit questioning his integrity and that I actually refused to submit the states requested documentation of which I still retain record of.

Truth to power:

All the legal actions, from this case having already gone through discovery, at levels of AZ/ investigation with all the requested and having already been remitted to them, and FINAL decision independently made by levels of the AZ. State clerical, supervisory and administrative personnel, including my ex-lawyers (I sworn affidavit which had already previously been presented to your court in evidence) has been kind enough in providing a sworn affidavit of his actions in this matter and now the court seems inclined to an endless processing in a seemingly endless in search of ' to blame the Plaintiff over' when the entire process was unfortunately handled in a ' after the by the AZ/ and matter had been handled entirely by the AZ/ people themselves.

If this case seems : for your honor I would request a new judge and a full jury trial with all factors previously described factually, but piecemeal, be allowed to be presented to an unbiased jury despite the possible volatile acts of juries, as I don't feel justice under the 14 amendment 'equal justice under the law', has any place for me in your court and the insidious conspiracy theories and suppositions.

Respectfully submitted,

Previously emailed to:

Addendum:

Part 1.

my ex-retained lawyer, who processed my case throughout, though no longer my attorney for financial reasons, but seemingly led by his visible great sense of responsibility, has stated to me that there is an urgent need for an Evidentiary Hearing, and that he would serve as a pro-bono witness on my behalf despite his already submitted sworn affidavit to the court when your request is issued; given all the unsubstantiated facts, opinions, half truths and rumors voiced by the defendants counsel by is previously written notice to the court, i. e. without benefit of a jury's presence, to separate the wheat from the and submitted by someone representing themselves impotently under Pro Se (like a doing surgery with a and a) and with only the courts' ear listening to preselected, but yet unconfirmed input from the AZ/States/ lawyer in the form of submitted hope, conjecture and with a and/or pure innuendo needed to create the sounds of of validity, often using questionable or unproven facts formed through conjecture, legal pretense, conspiracy theories and wishful thinking and/or pixies dancing before the defendants attorney's eyes.

With all respect and not wishing to offend your honor the following are my net feelings that have resulted after seeing, and being told, whereby your court is probably headed to a full dismissal of all of the plaintiffs allegations and the extreme and exaggerated efforts that are being put forth to the court by attorney in an attempt to neuter the true facts in this case and the plaintiff looking ahead with disappointment and sad expectation of an unfair outcome or decision by the the court.

Part 2.

At his point, based on the lack of (and reported to your court) failed cooperation by attorney with the plaintiff I would not consider any action being taken by the court for the dismissal of all the plaintiff's documented allegations to your court to be acceptable based on the communications between the defendant's attorneys' exchanges with the court only and insist that all prior input made by all parties to this matter be fully reviewed and substantiated by the court before any acceptable accommodation can be made by the court based only on submitted evidence to the court as heresay evidence.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**