

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 22-058

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 10, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge violated Rules 1.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.5, and 2.15(D) of the Code of Judicial Conduct.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 10, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2022-058

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name

_____ **Judge's Name:**

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

After letters to the Judicial Committee and complaints made by courtwatchers, the Judge's position changed to "The Court's previous suggestions with respect to posting a bond and other conditions is upon reflection untenable". After knowingly and consciously trying to extort from a single, patient, triple minority on who he's knowingly denied a bond hearing, evidentiary hearing, and numerous due process violations on, deprived her of exactly of her life with her child to serve an improper agenda and maintain his buddy system with opposing counsel ,

I mean if he allows such an unethical, ruthless criminal, who engages in litigation misconduct to forge signature, submit falsified exhibits, has a strong conflict of interest with as the lead witness and orchestrator on of her criminal charges, has created substantial injuries including jail time, excessive filing of moot motions, and ongoing in his courtroom including mixing church with state, and more as reflected in her motions such as attacking her mental health, then one can clearly see zero ramifications nor any sanctions against whose knowingly lied to as depicted on numerous exhibits and motions to incriminate Judge has full knowledge of this and enables and promotes this in his courtroom without disqualifying opposing counsel. Instead, being the unethical judicial officer that he is, he abets and promotes this behavior in his courtroom and collectively engages in harassment, denial of due process, and attacks on civil liberties .

At the recent hearing on his retaliatory measures kick in with requesting opposing counsel to file a affidavit for attorney fees to be paid by in addition to all the expenses of attorney fees by petitioner. Once again, no ramifications or sanctions imposed on opposing counsel in reference to any of these motions filed by revealing all of opposing counsel's criminal conduct she will be reported to the for:

MOTION TO SANCTION PER RULE OF
MISCONDUCT, FALSIFICATION OF FACT

MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL PER RULE 83 DUE TO CRIMINAL MISCONDUCT BY
& PETITIONER

RESPONSE TO PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR DESIGNATION OF RESPONDENT AS A
VEXATIOUS LITIGANT

Instead, ignores these motions and more ~~regarding~~ regarding falsification of evidence and instead the Judge orders to cover all of petitioner's attorney fees. This alone reveals the strong existing buddy system between opposing counsel and the judge and one can speculate all the ex parte communications between the (judge and opposing counsel).

Complaint on

**of the
Case:**

Court

Petitioner :

Respondent :

**Civil Rights Violations on
Petitioner,**

and Excessive Partiality towards

For over days and counting since , has been unable to make a simple ruling to restore parenting time for a mother with stage . She did nothing wrong by law, but only acted in good faith when she missed one parenting visit due to underlying diseases on both daughter and mother during against and orders and due to the fact father denied medical and dental treatment on the child for over years as he testified in court . This mother has been denied her constitutional right to her child for year by both "willfully" and "deceitfully". He knowingly falsified the record with his false statements to build a false narrative , without any concrete justification, or clear and convincing evidence to do so, simply to deprive her access to her only child and justify his weak position on a deliberate impediment he imposed being a (). This cash bond imposed is just for the mother to resume parenting time with her child. Time is precious and every moment counts. The previous Judge, Hon. , had ordered on at her last hearing before Judge took over assignment for this case , for a few supervised visitation sessions to be ordered to restore her parenting time. took over this case exactly one year ago on and mother has been deprived of her child until , due to his unilateral decision to impose a punitive on , and further, deny the mother a hearing on the punitive and an evidentiary for the missed visit . This judge is abusing his discretion and punishing her without due process or required evidentiary hearing and an of contempt (without due process , even denying her) evidentiary hearing ordered by previous Judge and upon multiple requests made in writing and on record requesting one. Further, being denied a bond hearing for his punitive action and order.

falsely labeled a "false reporter", in minute entries to discredit her, without her ever being convicted or charged by tribunal. He's also ignored of her motions without granting them a hearing, denied/ignored to respond to a bulk (see bond hearing request, Rule 84 motion for clarification, and conclusion of fact contempt motions , and further denied her evidentiary hearings on the missed visit) in addition to a denied motion for special master, denied motion to enforce parenting time and denied motion to disqualify counsel. Further, he chose to ignore a bulk of motions with no response or simply denied them before the court with homemade assumptions that were always far from fact or evidence in his minute entries. An example is motion to disqualify counsel, who served as the primary investigative lead and primary witness and orchestrator of frivolous charges against and blatant injuries and against . Instead, enabled opposing counsel who filed a frivolous on to stay on her case despite being the primary investigative lead and primary lead witness on both on her criminal charges (by opposing counsel over a blog, and for missing one visit for parenting time). Opposing counsel falsified an petition and failed to mention is a pro se litigant omitting the fact she is representing herself entirely from her fraudulent petition, and further, opposing counsel, () forged signature as an acceptance of service, when the facts are there was an alternative to service which didn't happen until as it's time stamped. The service was done , but opposing counsel forged her signature as a fraudulent acceptance of service on . All will be forwarded to the with the corresponding evidence among all the other falsification of evidence this attorney has produced. Instead, denied to contest the falsified petition as it was routed to him at the Court in . This was after her charges were dismissed, only to be re-charged for them again, without any due process rights to contest the , thanks to . Meanwhile, all of opposing counsel's motions are accepted and even going as far as enabling her to write the orders, even for parenting time, while ignoring the other party completely. On , the judge even allowed opposing counsel to write a motion to the proposal of reducing the bond to instead of given the fact is and on to her medical condition. Until , there was no response from the judge, but a deliberate impediment and blockade with he and opposing counsel working in unison with one another to deprive of any parenting time. Further, he allowed opposing counsel to respond to motion to disqualify her due to continuous lying to , lack of

candor, strong existing conflicts since she's the lead witness on both charges against [redacted] and has created serious harm and injuries towards [redacted]

Judge [redacted] improperly uses mere speculation and conjecture due to the fact [redacted] is a triple minority. He inserts falsified statements such as "She admitted to being an Egyptian citizen" on his [redacted]. When and where has that ever Happened? [redacted] carries Only US citizenship. She's written countless affidavits that she's not an citizen that are on record via the [redacted], even provided testimony to [redacted] and further, her passport is copied and in [redacted] with a "visa stamp" into [redacted] from last visit [redacted] as a tourist with her spouse. Why would a citizen of [redacted] need a visa to enter their own country? Yet, judge [redacted] falsified facts on the record to justify a punitive a [redacted] to a pro se litigant to simply deny her access to her child until today along with his false narrative to justify imposing an unlawful abuse of contempt measure of a [redacted]

Petitioner and opposing counsel both had access to all her passwords to her accounts, have falsified blogs, (as though written by [redacted]) portraying her as a " [redacted] ", knowing well the history of this judge, who casually speaks of his [redacted] with other [redacted], including his [redacted] to be a [redacted] (See exhibits) . Information obtained and available online through public source. [redacted] has also reported the falsified blogs along with evidence by opposing counsel to the [redacted] and myself included, and she's also made an affidavit pertaining the falsified evidence and ALL were disclosed as exhibits and uploaded affidavits are actively on the [redacted]

Therefore, this theatrical from [redacted] has become apparent to any educated audience that all his actions/ decisions were deliberate and all based with ill intent, simply due to the fact this highly biased, [redacted] and judge is waging nothing more but his crusade, while behaving rather sympathetic to the petitioner , a young man , who was out to [redacted] his wife by [redacted] her [redacted] as revealed on medical records for a lousy life insurance policy.

He's very protective of the other party, even covers up for his countless violations of court order, without any repercussions and has ignored all motions for contempt against him (one in [redacted]). Further, he even asks [redacted], who is a pro litigant, what she wants to question and ask her spouse in advance, before proceeding to question her spouse/witness at the hearing on [redacted], and again at trials in [redacted]

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**