

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 22-230

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

November 16, 2022

The Complainant alleged conflicts of interest and improper rulings by a superior court judge hearing a civil case

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Michael J. Brown and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 16, 2022.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2022-230

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Judge's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

**SEE ATTACHED**

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

County Judge delivered outrageous (Unconstitutional) ruling today

Approving of Judge Defendant - CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST - affiliated and trained by

Obviously, family ALL

Judge Unconstitutionally, left Judge biased and prejudicial rulings intact.  
 Violation of her Oath of Office, Judicial Responsibility and the United States Constitution

Judge is further disobeying federal (U.S. Supreme Court) law. CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST undertakings loses jurisdiction, resulting in her orders being NULL and VOID. et al, 139 S.Ct. 1558 (2019).

Knowingly or intentionally violating any provision of the conflict of interest laws is a class 6 felony. A.R.S. § 38-510(A)(1).

Conflict of interest is a punishable crime under Republic Act 6713, not to mention that other criminal laws also apply. Conflict of interest is constitutionally prohibited act.

County Presiding Judge hid a CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST of her own. She went to College, University of College of Law. with Defendant's attorney

Judge

• Juris Doctorate with distinction, University of College of Law

Defendant attorney, Esq.

Education University of College of Law (J.D., with distinction)

Prior Work Experience

Importance: High

**County** Presiding Judge hid a  
**CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST** of her own. She went to College,  
University of \_\_\_\_\_ College of Law. with  
Defendant's attorney

Judge

Current Assignment:

Education:

- Juris Doctorate with distinction, \_\_\_\_\_ University of \_\_\_\_\_ College of Law

Defendant attorney

, Esq.

Education University of , College of Law (J.D., with distinction)

Prior Work Experience

Importance: High

County Judge delivered outrageous  
(Unconstitutional) ruling today

Approving of Judge CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST –  
affiliated and trained by ( Defendant -

Obviously, family ALL

Judge , **Unconstitutionally**, left Judge biased and  
prejudicial rulings intact. Violation of her Oath of Office, Judicial Responsibility  
and the United States Constitution

Judge is further disobeying federal (U.S. Supreme Court)  
law. **CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST** undertakings loses jurisdiction, resulting in her  
orders being NULL and VOID. , et al, 139 S.Ct. 1558 (2019).

Knowingly or *intentionally* violating any provision of the *conflict of interest laws* is a class 6 *felony*. A.R.S. § 38-510(A)(1).

**Conflict of interest is a punishable crime under Republic Act 6713**, not to mention that other criminal laws also apply. Conflict of interest is **constitutionally prohibited act**.

Sincerely,

**Importance:** High

victim now blind, brain injury, at end-of-life as a direct result of  
EMPLOYEE, Nurses, and Doctors, admitted to egregious hit-and-run  
was the happy love of life and made the very sad shell of  
her former self. Now forced by to very sad end-of-life. is now near death -- Does  
have anything greater-importance-to-him to lose? NO  
FACT BASED RECORDING STORY -- LEFT severely -- WITH NO  
ADULT FOR SEVERE REVERSAL -- Leaving with untreated  
and acidosis for greater than hours and left by to die. was  
forced by failures and or crimes to stop breathing on Samsung cell phone  
recording -- attempted murder or criminal negligence? -- ALL EGREGIOUS FACTS EVIDENCED AND  
ADMITTED TO by EMPLOYEES on Mr. digital Samsung cell phone recordings and four  
videos -- PLUS DISCHARGE MEDICAL RECORDS DIAGNOSIS PLACES NUMEROUS HORRIFIC  
INJURIES CAUSED BY THIS MALPRACTICE EVENT INCLUDING POISONING. Is the Office afraid  
of Attorney ? Murder or Criminal Negligence that the Office refuses to

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**