

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 24-150

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 23, 2024

The Complainant alleged a municipal court judge disregarded his allegations that a medical provider offering drug urinalysis for Arizona courts is acting negligently in their handling of medical testing implements.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

The Complainant also requested to appear before the Commission and present evidence. The Commission found no good cause to support this request, and the request to appear is hereby denied.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 23, 2024.

Formal Complaint Filed With The Judicial Conduct Commission

Comp

24-150

Case #:

FORMAL COMPLAINT AGAINST JUDGE

To Judicial Conduct Commission,

This is not about me, but to protect veterans and the community. I'm writing to you because there is a huge problem in Veteran's Court that puts veterans at risk for false testing; when I presented the physical evidence to the judge, he not only refused to see it and refused to hear it, but deflected away from the very issue presented that is actively hurting veterans and others involved in this testing.

The absolute horrific, unsanitary conditions, and unsecure process exposes any veteran or citizen that has utilized this facility to false positives and people are then punished for those false tests. Direct violation of the constitution.

After several months () of internal investigation I have acquired both physical and digital evidence. When this evidence was presented, the judge deflected away from the subject, and proceeded to tell me the program " " and wants to remove me from the program strictly due to my disability and medical condition worsening. This is a violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act, as well as a violation of the constitution (double jeopardy) as I have completed everything the program has asked of me.

This behavior from the judge not only violates law and A.D.A., but is also a show of character for his irresponsible, obtuse, and narcissistic behavior; which makes me worry for his mental health. Wanting to ignore the problem I found in his program for a slap on the back and stroke of an ego is most certainly an ethics violation and might be illegal. The Judge claims to want open honesty but refuses to hear it or give it. He claims to have an open-door policy but refuses to see us.

I'm sending copies of this letter to all military agencies in town, as well as media, congressman, head of the superior court, judges, and everyone else involved or affected. This may or may not end up as a county wide class-action lawsuit against each city in the county, and the judges themselves. (Judges are not immune to civil lawsuits).

Americans have the right to stand to make change, otherwise freedom has no meaning.

Freedom of speech is allowing others to speak to whom you disagree with, or it has no meaning.

Disabled
Veteran Retired

N -

J N