

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 24-177

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

August 23, 2024

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace takes bribes and chooses what laws to apply to what people based on corrupt motives.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 23, 2024.

Complaint

Judge

Courts

COMD

2024-177

I write to you As the Commissioner
of Judicial Conduct is two stepped
or maybe to see the truth on
performing a true investigation so
don't take the time to do it for
you as well as made it public
Record on All now there's been
complaints that judge
is corrupted as he has taken Bruber
from me he has also discrimination
against certain people that comes
up in front of him he picks on
chose whom he up holds the laws
on to he allows to not
honor the rules that you are
suppose to enforce he totally goes
against his own profile of what he
claims to stand for it does not say
much for the State of Arizona
handling corrupted judges to serve on
their benches as when the complaint
was served by certified mail

to the Higher Courts and the
judicial Commission conduct Against
this Judge none of you have answered
the complaint matters was also
Address to

the office the
Self insurance all with have proving
to Be Either Stupid or My Eye
or very Croupted that you have
laws on the Books About leaving A
Distress car while going to obtain
Help for A few Hours then you should

to over step their Authority to
have car get towed, Arrest Owner, Charge
Owner Criminal Charges, make owner pay
impond fees and more and then
Allow other of your State to should
their Distress vehicle to sit four
Days, weeks, month with out tagging
or tucheling or towing speels

croption Discrimination As your
Acts, laws only give officer the
right to remove Distress Auto
3 reasons 1. Being in a tunnel
2. Being on A Bridge 3. Blocking traffic
or on a Rail Road track am if the

officer Had of Been performing
 His Rounds and provide true Facts
 to the Court System would of Been
 Able to provide Assisted to the
 motorist Not made it more
 Diffical on the motorist and the
 ones that are Enforcement of the laws
 that are hired to up hold the laws
 all take oaths to up hold on all citizen
 not Alloud to pick and choise when
 they up hold then on witch is two
 things 1. pure Corruption 2. pure Discrims
 on and when people in power like
 the office, the the Judicial
 conduct, etc Allow law
 enforcement to Allow them to take
 place it is Rico Act witch was
 passed into law I Believe in
 And when you Bring matters to Staff
 of these Departments on told they Have
 the right to pick and choise when and
 what they Enforce the laws that are
 passed And the Constitution of the
 united State Does not Allow that
 it states All to Be up held Equal not
 for its up to them and not me
 this Does not say much for the when
 they owe see Rico And Do nothing either