

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 24-264

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

October 11, 2024

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made biased rulings in retaliation for prior judicial complaints in a family law case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Michael J. Brown, and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 11, 2024.

Comp

2024 - 264

Complaint  
Hon.

Complaint against . What is very discouraging is the commission is sticking your damn heads in the ground when it comes to bad judges. The USA is crap load of trouble with 2 elderly assed candidates and the people of AZ has to report to a group that is pointless to report to 99% of the reports get a decision that is very vague that protects the Judges but tears away at the trust and fabric of this county. Arizona for all of its splendor still has that "We're a people of out time" , especially being the last state to acknowledge MLK day. Like a man of his time owned and sold slaves. Arizona seems to want to keep it traditions of being last in many regards of honoring the rights and freedom of non-white.

Screams of "build the wall". It doesn't surprise me that WORLD POPLULATION REVIEW Arizona Ranks # 1 for being most racists in the USA. Nothing speaks more to this than the judicial system Where the Commission responds with very generic findings as they dismiss the claims.

Why does the Commission even exist? I don't need to tell you the people don't trust any of you any further than we can toss you. This is a necessary evil.

Put bad judges on the bench and the commission protects those bad judges.

Now for the reason I am writing. You have a very bad Judge in Hon. and for some reason you all are protecting her at the expense of family and children.

The Legislature has spoken and from I can surmise some of you can't read or English is perhaps your seventh language, Many of the Codes are very inambiguous yet even when the threshold is met You have a very easy out as the public can't see beyond the redacted documents your share we do

not see what the responding party has stated. Seems like better that the commission odd at winning is better than the house at the casino. You control everything. NO one can be trusted when you control what is received and what is going out.

The Commission "Is not a reviewing court-it has no power reverse judicial decision or direct any court to do so—irrespective of whether the commission agrees or disagrees with a judge's decision It is not the role of the commission to discipline judges for decisions unless bad faith, bias, abuse of authority, disregard for fundamental right, intentional disregard of the law, or any purpose other the faithful discharge of Judicial duty is established by clear and convincing evidence.

Shall we begin with: Bad faith refers to dishonesty or fraud in a transaction. Depending on the exact setting, bad faith may mean a dishonest belief or purpose, untrustworthy performance of duties, neglect of fair dealing standards, or a fraudulent intent.

Q: If a judge in a Family Matter where she has been reported to be bias be of bad faith if she were To take very exculpatory exhibit and falsify and misrepresent?

## Misrepresentations/Cherry-Picking

2.) Father admits that he has ' "Child" " as a form of discipline but that he is permitted to do so as Child's father. He alleges that Mother's complaints to are false and have not been substantiated.<sup>4</sup> **In support of this position Father provides a one-page document titled " ' dated , in which there is a general statement noting insufficient evidence to establish that Child is not provided enough food or that she faces verbal threats of harm in Father's home. (Exh. 10). P.4**

¶ 2.

B. Now the actual finding and response. Ex. 10 reads (please see **Appendix 1**)

**Arizona**

)

P. 1 ¶ 1 Describe any needs that were identified as a result of the Family Functioning Assessment or by the family, Include Protective Capacities (emotional, Behavioral, cognitive) and/ or Protective Factors (parent resilience, social connections, concrete support in times of need, knowledge of parenting and child Development, and social and emotional competence of children) that can Be enhanced to strengthen the family.

*became involved with the family due to allegations of physical abuse by (father). Additional information was reported regarding making Verbal threats of physical harm or death to (Daughter). Information Was reported regarding not having sufficient food to eat at school. Based off The gathered information, there is insufficient evidence to support the allegations.*

So why did the Judge having held this document in her had full misrepresent the Particulars of the finding? (Emphasis added) It was clearly a Kangaroo Court. We can tell from Page 5 of the transcript. Why is she so compelled to lie. Would a neutral trier of fact behave in this manner?

3.)<sup>4</sup> Father does not contest or even acknowledge that the first allegation that he struck Child with a cord causing injuries to her legs has been substantiated by . It was this initial substantiated act of abuse that resulted in services and the involvement of

\*footnote 4 on page 4) (**Misrepresentation again these were voluntary Appendix 3**)

C the true account: ITMO and  
TRANSCRIPT

P.8 line 5 to P.11 line 4

Q Okay. All right. You are providing parent nurturing classes to \_\_\_\_\_, myself; is that correct.

A That is correct.

Q And you also have observed \_\_\_\_\_ in the home; is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q Okay. Now, how long have you been in your field if you don't mind my asking?

A I have been worked as a nurturing practitioner for (Indiscernible) Rest for now for a year and five months.

Q Okay, good deal. And you do go into a lot of homes (indiscernible) a lot of kids; is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q Okay. And what did you state -- say that when you see a kid that's in a bad environment or bad home, you pretty much can identify that; is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q Okay. You have had an opportunity to speak to \_\_\_\_\_; is that correct, my daughter?

A Yes.

Q Okay. In your opinion, in your expert opinion, or your opinion, does she look emotionally -- do you experience -- do you see that she has any emotional issues?

A Not that I have observed, no.

Q Okay, okay. Does -- does she also have -- you've had a chance to talk to her, does she have good -- friends that come through the home?

A Yes.

: Objection, foundation, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm going to allow the testimony,

. So you can cross examine if you wish to with your time, but I'm going to allow her to present her perspective. Go ahead.

THE PETITIONER: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.

BY THE PETITIONER:

Q In providing the nurturing case, you've had a chance to work directly with me; is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q And would you say that I've been open -- how would you describe me to the Court, as a -- as a parent?

A As I have observed , I've observed him being a nurturing father. I have observed him being -- him speaking in a nurturing manner to . I have also observed him with the other children in the neighborhood as well, and I -- there -- when you walk in, there is a -- an openness and a warmth there in the home. So and all of the kids are in and out, and appears to be happy. She's clean, she's healthy, and she's very communitive (sic).

Q Thank you. You've also worked with one of the colleagues who are -- was to oversee the family through this time, I'm not sure of his name. Do you know his name?

A **Yes. I've spoken to .**

**Q And what is role?**

A role is the -- he is the case specialist that is assigned to case. I spoke to yes -- **day before yesterday, and he was calling to tell me that they're getting ready to close . And -- and**

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**