

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 24-281

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

October 18, 2024

The Complainant alleged a municipal court commissioner displayed racial bias in two protective hearings.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Barbara Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 18, 2024.



Comp  
24-281

## ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

No action can be taken on your submission to the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct ("Commission") for the following reason(s):

- You have not provided the full name of the judicial officer(s) about whom you are complaining. *Judge : see updated form attached*
- The Commission does not have jurisdiction over judges outside the State of Arizona, federal judges, tribal judges, or administrative law judges. *attached*
- You have not described the conduct you believe constitutes ethical misconduct.
- Your submission consisted of a court filing/pleading. Commission staff cannot assume what portions of the document comprise your allegations of judicial misconduct. Please complete a complaint form and describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct under the Code of Judicial Conduct. A copy of the Code is available on our website – [www.azcourts.gov/azcjc](http://www.azcourts.gov/azcjc).
- The Commission does not have jurisdiction over attorneys.
- The Commission does not have jurisdiction over court employees, constables, process servers, mediators, or arbitrators.
- Your submission is not legible.
- Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### SEE REVERSE FOR ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

**Your entire submission is being returned to you. No copies have been retained. Any future submissions to this office must include all documentation you deem relevant at that time.**

For more information about how to file a complaint against an Arizona judicial officer, or to obtain a complaint form, please visit the Commission's website at [www.azcourts.gov/azcjc](http://www.azcourts.gov/azcjc).



**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:

Judge's Name: 

: Judge:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Please see attached

My name is \_\_\_\_\_ I had \_\_\_\_\_ cases in \_\_\_\_\_ Court below, I was a plaintiff in one and defendant on the other one. I had the same judge in both cases and the judge was completely biased towards \_\_\_\_\_ in both cases. I felt I was discriminated against because my \_\_\_\_\_ name and my accent.

Please review the \_\_\_\_\_ cases below which was not fair to have the same judge in both because the decision was by default to the benefit of \_\_\_\_\_

Thank you for your time and review.

case# \_\_\_\_\_

The court ignored the picture that showed \_\_\_\_\_ hand was in his short doing sexual acts and court assumed that \_\_\_\_\_ was adjusting his private area, I was very shy explaining that he was masturbating in front of my house and in my culture, even after the judge said adjusting he did not deny that. So the judge talked on his behalf even though he did not comment on the picture.

Reason 3:

Used his reputation and his volunteer work to get the court to his side, also used his father death and funeral to take court to his side and the reaction from the judge is she lifted her hand against her chest feeling sorry for him and let him to talk through these emotion which was irrelevant to the case except taking court to his side and he did. Instead this issue should be taken seriously because he indicated that he is going to school which he is dealing with high school girls.

The Judge allowed

to submit a typed letter by unknow person and continued listening to

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**