

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 24-462

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

March 28, 2025

The Complainant alleged a city court judge was disrespectful in not signing a document, requiring him to change his signature, and requiring the prosecutor to explain the rules of court.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 28, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2024-462

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

And
Prosecutor
city

Disrespect

city court Case

Complaint no.

Judge

name is not on any of my (

) Court paperwork not even on the only signature

page. Judge

was showing disrespect at

every turn. disrespecting me (

) by forcing me,

against my Will to change my signature To Judge

liking (the name or mark of a person, written by

that person at his or her direction) In commercial law, any

name, word or mark used with the intention to authenticate a

writing constitutes a signature, (Black's Law Dictionary) also

I was shown disrespect concerning my ()

disability. By telling me I have to look at the screen when

talking. When I questioned her authority to enter a plea for

me, () all I was doing was asking questions to

understand the nature and cause of the action which she

(Judge) REFUSED TO DO. She (Judge

) then became irate when questioned on

how she (Judge) had the authority to do

it. At no time was I not going to enter a plea I was only asking

questions to understand.

Disrespect

city court Case

Complaint no.

Judge began threatening me () times in minutes and continued to belittle me () later times in the Court room.

Pleas-Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11 (c) and (d). The defendant MUST personally enter a plea of guilty or not guilty in open court. The judge must also ensure that the plea is voluntary and not the result of threats, force, or promises outside of a plea agreement. Further misconduct was done by Judge in delegating her duties by having the prosecutor. Tell the defendant () the rules of the court. It's Judge duty to explain the rules of the court not the Prosecutor's. Judge did not enter into her duties, of the office before Court and the people when performing her (Judge) duties this is a sign of disrespect to the people. Furthermore, I am troubled by the fact that this seems an every day occurrence by judge and the prosecutor.

Rule 1.2 - Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary

2. A judge should expect to be the subject of public scrutiny . Conduct that compromises or appears to compromise the independence,

Disrespect

city court Case

Complaint no.

prosecutor

As I told the city prosecutor I don't understand

He city prosecutor refused to give his name

city prosecutor then didn't even offer to get a helper
me to get help me () and he didn't even offer
helper and when asked he city prosecutor said he
cannot help but under the rules.

While a city court in Arizona is not obligated to provide legal assistance to a litigant, they are expected to facilitate a fair process for self-represented litigants by explaining procedures, clarifying legal concepts, and providing access to available resources to help them navigate their case to the best of their ability; essentially, they should not actively hinder a litigant trying to represent themselves, but cannot provide full legal advice.

This is the most Disrespecting court I
ever been in my life of years I have
been in states where I have been in
court from city court ,state court to
federal court this

, , , , ,
, ,Arizona, , ,
, ,

