

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 24-493

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

March 28, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge is guilty of judicial malpractice based on the rulings in a family law case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 28, 2025.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2024-493

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

My name is \_\_\_\_\_ . I am writing to file a second complaint against Judge \_\_\_\_\_ in case number \_\_\_\_\_

Complaints are as follows:

**Compliant One**  
The facts from the \_\_\_\_\_ hearing were not valid. During the \_\_\_\_\_, Evidentiary Hearing, the Judge did not allow \_\_\_\_\_ to have \_\_\_\_\_ authenticate photos, and he took a break after the second photo was introduced. Coming back from break, he stated, " \_\_\_\_\_ said, " \_\_\_\_\_ "

**Complaint Two**  
The evidence presented by the Respondent's side during the \_\_\_\_\_, Evidentiary Hearing was full of facts, testimony, and displays from pre-decree or data that occurred pre-divorce on \_\_\_\_\_. For example, a video of the minor being belted by \_\_\_\_\_ pre-decree cannot be utilized as evidence in a post-decree matter. There were pictures of \_\_\_\_\_ to the minor \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ from being belted that occurred pre-decree that cannot be used in this case as post-decree exhibitions. The Petition filed by the Respondent on \_\_\_\_\_ had data asking for "Emergency Relief," yet the data (the two videos of the child being belted and the \_\_\_\_\_ and texts) occurred almost \_\_\_\_\_ months prior; it occurred pre-divorce and was dismissed by \_\_\_\_\_ and Police pre-decree signatures that took place \_\_\_\_\_

**Complaint Three**  
After the hearing, the Judge denied several of \_\_\_\_\_ attempts to introduce more data into the case. For example, Judge \_\_\_\_\_ rejected \_\_\_\_\_ introduction of the minor male's medical records from \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ in a Minute Entry. The medical records \_\_\_\_\_ wanted to raise in the case were from the male minor's overnight stay, and the Respondent stated: " \_\_\_\_\_ "

The other issue is that \_\_\_\_\_ filed a motion for a forensic evaluation of the two minors on \_\_\_\_\_, which was denied on \_\_\_\_\_ by Judge \_\_\_\_\_. That is illegal malpractice not to allow for the two minors in the case to be spoken to, especially if there are abuse allegations and custody matters taking place, and the two minors are teenagers.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Complaint Four  
The Judge keeps denying any data generation that will favor \_\_\_\_\_ in her case. Another example is that the \_\_\_\_\_ ( ) Reports that are available to the Judge or that can be ordered to be submitted to the Judge have not been utilized; these reports occurred pre-decree or pre-divorce and are from before the signing of the decree on \_\_\_\_\_. The Judge NEVER ordered these and probably never viewed the \_\_\_\_\_ Reports. Ultimately, the Judge allowed the Respondent's attorney to present a video of the minor male being belted in the \_\_\_\_\_ Evidence Based Hearing, a video that was taken pre-decree or pre-divorce. The Judge did not provide the hospital or \_\_\_\_\_ records, and the Respondent presented the data as though it had occurred post-decree. That is Illegal Misconduct, which is a form of Perjury and Fraud.

Complaint Five  
The Orders filed by the Judge on \_\_\_\_\_ to change Parental Custody and the Income Withholding Order need to be Amended and changed back to pre-decree. The Petition filed by the Respondent on \_\_\_\_\_ should have never been filed, as it was an Emergent Petition that had the Factual Basis Stating. "

" Perjury is a form of fraud,

and no petition should have ever been filed based on pre-decree data. \_\_\_\_\_ Reports and Hospital Reports can verify the minor had severe Inhalation Abuse and severe Psychiatric Issues, was hospitalized overnight at \_\_\_\_\_, and was spoken to at the \_\_\_\_\_ residence and the hospital by \_\_\_\_\_; \_\_\_\_\_ spoke to both minors. \_\_\_\_\_ made the mother show the Social Worker the belt that was used, and \_\_\_\_\_ entirely dismissed the belting incident pre-divorce.

**IN CLOSING:**  
Therefore, \_\_\_\_\_ is guilty of Judicial Malpractice in Arizona. He is a one-sided crook and needs to be reprimanded for the utter kidnapping that took place against the minor female via a child warrant that was first issued in \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ and then re-issued \_\_\_\_\_ days later, on \_\_\_\_\_.

The Judge must be convicted of illegal malpractice and participating in fraudulent schemes. Putting minors in jeopardy, not doing what is best for the minors, and using pre-decree data that should NOT be allowed in a post-decree matter that was clear it was pre-decree, as the minor was still living with the mother full-time.

It is ridiculous not to test \_\_\_\_\_ mentally, not allow \_\_\_\_\_ or Hospital Reports, and go off data that was introduced as post-decree, wasn't post-decree, and then make drastic changes to child support and child custody on \_\_\_\_\_.