

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 24-510

Judge:

Complainants:

ORDER

April 4, 2025

The Complainants alleged a superior court judge was incompetent and made rulings to protect fraudulent conduct in a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 4, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2024-510

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

1 **COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

2 **TO:** Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite
3 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007
4

5 **AGAINST:** Honorable _____ County _____ Court
6

7 **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

8 This complaint documents a pattern of judicial misconduct by Judge
9 _____ in case _____. The misconduct centers on three key issues:
10

- 11 1. **Fundamental Legal Errors:** Judge _____ rulings demonstrate either
12 profound misunderstanding or deliberate biased application of basic
13 easement law principles, creating legally impossible combinations of rights
14 that effectively denied proper access to property.
- 15 2. **Protection of Fraudulent Conduct:** Documentation shows systematic
16 protection of materially false statements, including:
 - 17 ○ False attribution of the _____ development to plaintiffs
18 despite contrary sworn testimony
 - 19 ○ Protection of fraudulent _____ property valuation despite actual
20 sale at _____
 - 21 ○ Refusal to address undisclosed property transfers affecting judgment
22 execution
- 23 3. **Procedural Manipulation:** Creation of intricate procedural barriers
24 preventing review of misconduct, including:
 - 25 ○ Mischaracterization of filing timelines
 - 26 ○ Invention of non-existent deadlines
 - 27 ○ Strategic allowing of counsel withdrawals to avoid ethics violations
 - 28 ○ Prevention of record development regarding fraud

1 **Contents**

2 I. INTRODUCTION 2
3 II. BACKGROUND 3
4 III. PATTERN OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT 4
5 IV. FABRICATION OF EVIDENCE AND RETALIATION 7
6 V. SYSTEMATIC PROTECTION OF FRAUDULENT CONDUCT 9
7 VI. ABUSE OF JUDICIAL DISCRETION 10
8 VII. CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS AND DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS 13
9 VIII. BROADER IMPLICATIONS FOR JUDICIAL INTEGRITY 14
10 X. REQUEST FOR SPECIFIC FINDINGS TO SUPPORT COMPLAINT AND REQUESTED RELIEF..... 15
11 XII. CONCLUSION..... 17
12 XIII. INSTITUTIONAL CREDIBILITY AND PUBLIC TRUST 19

13 **I. INTRODUCTION**

14 I, _____, along with my wife _____, respectfully
15 submit this complaint regarding Judge _____ handling of case
16 _____. Throughout the proceedings, extending through our most recent hearing in
17 _____ on defendants' Request for Writ of Execution, Judge _____ has
18 demonstrated either fundamental incompetence in handling property law matters or
19 deliberate bias favoring the defendants. His rulings have denied our property rights
20 and failed to address evidence of fraudulent conduct, raising serious concerns about
21 judicial impartiality.

22 The gravity of Judge _____ misconduct creates dangerous precedents
23 that undermine the integrity of the judicial system, particularly in how courts handle
24 evidence of fraud and apply fundamental property law principles. Most concerning
25 is his pattern of using procedural barriers to prevent review of serious misconduct,
26 effectively shielding both judicial error and fraudulent conduct from meaningful
27 examination. This protection of misconduct has created a troubling cycle of
28 unaccountability, as evidenced by the _____ of Arizona's recent response (File

1 No:) indicating they cannot act on ethical violations without judicial
2 findings - findings that Judge procedural manipulations have
3 systematically prevented. The hearing where he again ignored the
4 request to ask defendants' attorney if the facts he presented to the court, and Judge
5 used on his judgment, were fraudulent, demonstrates
6 an ongoing pattern of protecting fraudulent conduct while deliberately avoiding
7 creation of any record that could support accountability.

10 II. BACKGROUND

11 In , we purchased in , Arizona, with the intention
12 of building our family home. The parcel required legal access through an existing
13 road on neighboring , owned by defendants and
14 . Before completing the purchase, we took extensive steps
15 to ensure access could be resolved amicably. We contacted the defendants directly,
16 engaged in detailed negotiations, and proposed multiple solutions that would
17 accommodate both their development plans and our need for residential access.
18 Despite investing over in drafting easement agreements based on their
19 requirements and initially receiving their agreement to provide access, the
20 defendants ultimately refused to grant access, forcing us to seek legal remedy.

22 What followed was a pattern of judicial conduct that not only failed to
23 properly apply the law but actively protected fraudulent behavior while creating
24 insurmountable barriers to justice. This pattern has continued through our most
25 recent hearing in June , where Judge refused to address even the
26 most blatant evidences of fraud and misconduct presented before him.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**