

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-017

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

April 4, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner made improper rulings in a family law case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 4, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

25-017

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

By: Grantor: _____

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

**Special Affidavit Warranted Information
True Bill In Equity**

By Grantor: _____, a sentient man, Scientist of Warranted Information. I am a man with Volition stating, the Truths with first hand warranted Information.

The Certified Charges stand on Record and begin with conspiracy of several Bad acts, By the Acting Judge

These Charges Being with Counts:

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1) Breach of Trust. | 2) Traverse. |
| 3) Cognitive Biases. | 4) Financial Crimes. |
| 5) Black Market Scheme. Dark money. | 6) Lapping Scheme. |
| 7) Reasonable Standard. | 8) Extortion. |
| 9) Equitable Relief. | 10) Violation of Clean Hands Doctrine. |
| 11) Hearsay. | 12) Strict Product Liability. |
| 13) Barratry. | 14) Vexatious. |
| 15) Abuse of Absolute Privilege. | 16) Conspiracy Criminal Charge. |
| 17) Obstruction of Justice. | 18) False Statements. |
| 19) False Claims. | 20) Bad Faith. |
| 21) Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act. | |
| 22) Criminal Liability. | 23) Criminal Fraud. |
| 24) Crimes Against Property and Persons. | 25) Aiding & Abetting. |
| 26) Kickbacks. | 27) Concealment of Trial Discovery. |
| 28) Intentional Tort. | 29) Defamation. |
| 30) Conversion. | 31) False Imprisonment. |
| 32) Trespass. | 32) Malicious Prosecution. |
| 34) Fraud. | 35) Invasion of Privacy. |
| 36) Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress. | 37) negligence |
| 38) Intentional Interference with Economic, Relations.. | 39) Legal Duty of Care |
| 41) Strict Liability. | 40) Breach of Duty of Care. |
| 43) Unfair Business Practices. | 42) Proximate Cause. [Criminal]. |
| 45) Unjust Enrichments. | 44) Unclean Hands. |
| 47) Fraudulent Transfers. | 46) Violation Antitrust Laws. |
| | 48) Violation of the Bench of Equity. |

Special Bill of Particulars Charges:
Each Special Charge is Certified and Bonded
Each Special Charge for each violation is,
\$1, (one) million dollars in Gold or Silver.

Special TRUE BILL.

Forty Seven Million Euro €47,000,000.

Treble Damages to be added, True Bill may be revised from time to Time seeking Equitable Replevin Joint and Several Liability.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

By: Grantor:

Judge's Name:

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

This Special Bill in Equity are charges that are well established by Notices and Intents that are noted factual and on record. The acting Judges have violated the virtue of Justice and the Maxims of Equity, the Jurisprudence Science, and Justice for Human Rights and Morality, Pedeking that the Customs of Law, is only one aspect. Forgetting what is Right and Just, the Equitable Part of the Court. These Acting Judges have Chosen with Scierter, selective Law violating Equitable Rights, cloaking under the Color of law, forgetting all Laws come from Equity. One now comes to the conclusions that these Actors, with Sentient Volition chosen, ignoring the Maxims and the Principles of Reason and is malicious and unconscionable. It is clear that there is Schemes, Mischievous, withholdings of material, Information, Special (CCI) Commercial Confidential Information Demands Denied, stultifying the rights of a young man, violating Due Process of a man's rights These statements are written and on record by the Authorized Representative, Trust Protector and Power of Attorney, on record with Form 56. There will be given Thirty (30) days of grace to ask for Forgiveness and Cure these Wrongs, return my property release and Discharge the Res. In closing I respectfully demand my Public Acting Servants to do right.

By: Grantor:

Very Kindly!
... Govern Yourself Correctly

Principal Baillee, Private Attorney General and
Private Bailout Hunter of the Arizona Republic

To:
Judicial Conduct and Ethics Commission
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

From:

Private Attorney General.
Executor of the Trust

Date:

Subject: Judicial Misconduct Complaint Against Judge Court of Arizona,
County

Introduction

This is a formal complaint against Judge presiding in the Court of Arizona, for violations of constitutional law, fiduciary responsibilities, and judicial ethics. The judge's conduct demonstrates an abuse of discretion, malfeasance, and nonfeasance, specifically in their handling of Case No. , which pertains to my authority as Executor and Trustee of the Trust.

Violations and Misconduct

1. Lack of Jurisdiction (Article III, Clause 1)

Under Article III, Clause 1, original jurisdiction lies solely with the United States Supreme Court in matters of trust law, constitutional challenges, and cases involving the rights of Executors. The Superior Court lacks jurisdiction over the Executor of a private trust, making the judge's orders and rulings void ab initio.

This misuse of jurisdiction is repugnant to the Constitution and violates the rights of the Executor under Article IV, which guarantees the sovereignty of the people over public servants.

2. Dishonor of SF Forms and Fiduciary Breach

As the lawful Executor of the marriage certificate (a financial instrument operating as a bond), I submitted SF forms to the court to liquidate this matter. These forms were dishonored by the judge, who failed to act on his fiduciary duty to process the documents lawfully.

This failure to honor lawful instructions constitutes:

- **Malfeasance:** The judge actively violated his duty by refusing to comply with lawful Executor instructions.
- **Nonfeasance:** The judge failed to perform his fiduciary responsibilities as required by law.

3. Absence of Loyalty Oath and Bond

The judge has failed to produce evidence of a loyalty oath or public hazard bond, which are required under Arizona Revised Statutes Title 38. These omissions render all actions taken by this judge unlawful.

If a bond exists, I demand its immediate production for inspection. If no bond exists, this is a direct violation of fiduciary obligations, and all rulings by this judge are null and void.

4. Practicing Law from the Bench

The judge violated judicial ethics by actively practicing law from the bench, including:

- Providing legal direction to the Plaintiff (my soon-to-be ex-wife).
- Demonstrating personal bias by attempting to award trust property in favor of the Plaintiff without lawful authority.

These actions undermine the impartiality required of a judicial officer and constitute judicial misconduct.

5. Violations of Federal Law (USC 15 § 1 and USC 15 § 2)

The judge's actions align with USC 15 § 1 and USC 15 § 2, which prohibit:

- Trusts in restraint of trade: The court's monetization of bonds tied to the marriage certificate represents an unlawful financial activity.
- Monopolization: The judge used his position to intimidate, coerce, and deprive me of my rights, operating outside lawful authority.

6. Contempt, Silencing, and Unlawful Detainment

The judge issued an order silencing my constitutional rights, including:

- My right to due process and freedom of speech.
- Placing me in contempt of court under false pretenses, such as alleging I voluntarily abandoned proceedings.

These actions were intended to intimidate and undermine my lawful standing as Executor, Trustee, and Private Attorney General.

Relief Sought

1. Investigation of Misconduct:

Conduct a full investigation into the judge's actions, including violations of constitutional principles, fiduciary duties, and ethical standards.

2. Demand for Bond Disclosure:

Require the judge to produce evidence of a public hazard bond or face immediate removal from office.

3. Sanctions for Federal Violations:

Impose sanctions under USC 15 § 1 and § 2, holding the judge accountable for monopolization and unlawful financial activities.

4. Immediate Referral:

Refer this case to appropriate federal agencies, including the IRS, DOJ, and SEC, for further investigation into the monetization of bonds tied to this case.

5. Public Accountability:

Ensure that no judge operating in the Court of Arizona can engage in similar violations against Executors and Trustees.

Supporting Documentation

The following documents are attached to support this complaint:

1. Copies of SF forms submitted to the court.
2. Documentation of my authority as Executor (e.g., UCC filings, trust documents).
3. Evidence of judicial misconduct, including orders, transcripts, and related records.

Closing Statement

This complaint is submitted in good faith and with the expectation of immediate action. The judge's repeated violations of constitutional law, judicial ethics, and fiduciary obligations demand accountability. As Executor and Private Attorney General, I stand firmly on the law and will pursue all necessary remedies to ensure justice is served.

Respectfully Submitted,

By:

Private Attorney General
Executor for

Without Recourse

Trust

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**