

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-020

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 20, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge did not sufficiently review a legal filing in family court prior to ruling on the matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Michael J. Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 20, 2025.

Attachments: _____ .pdf

From:

Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>

Subject: Re: JUDGE - REQUEST FOR IMMEDIATE REVIEW AND INVESTIGATION

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

Urgent:

I request an immediate investigation into Judge _____ for denying this motion in just hours and _____ minutes. This raises concerns, as she is also named in the RICO and DOJ complaints. I further request the immediate removal of Judge _____ from my case, as it seems implausible that Judge _____ could have thoroughly reviewed and comprehended all points of the motion within such a short timeframe. This creates an appearance of impropriety and potential RICO involvement.

This denial constitutes a violation, as the relevant rules and laws do not permit such a denial under the statute without substantive judicial reasoning. It appears to be an attempt to cover for Judge _____ who has had prior involvement in this case. Judges _____ and _____ have a long-standing professional relationship, having worked together for many years at _____, which adds to the concern.

Best Regards,

Cell | _____ *(The best form of contact)*

Email |

Licensed Real Estate Agent in Arizona

On Wed, _____ at _____ Commission on Judicial Conduct
<CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov> wrote:

The Commission is in receipt of your email.

Our standard acknowledgment letter with additional information will be forthcoming. Thank you.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007
602-452-3200

From:

Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>

Subject: JUDGE - REQUEST FOR IMMEDIATE REVIEW AND INVESTIGATION

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

Good afternoon,

I would like to request to open a new commission complaint against Judge _____, of the Family Law Division in _____ Court Case No _____

Please take a look at my attached Emergency Motion to Disqualify Judge _____ along with my Affidavit in Support of the Disqualification.

As you are aware, we did have a previous investigation opened on _____ but the Commission on Judicial Conduct dismissed the complaint. Now, I would like to open a new complaint with the following evidence attached to this email.

I have recently spoken to the Arizona Legislature, who also stated they will be submitting further complaints and actions against Judge _____

As outlined in my Affidavit, I have also reported Judge _____ and several other court officers, and other parties involved in this matter, to the Federal Government, which includes but is not limited to the _____ the _____ and the AZ Attorney General.

Respectfully,

Best Regards,

Cell | _____ (The best form of contact)

Email | _____

Licensed Real Estate Agent in Arizona

COPY

Clerk of the Court
*** Filed ***

COURT OF ARIZONA
COUNTY

HONORABLE

CLERK OF THE COURT

Deputy

IN RE THE MATTER OF

AND

AG-CHILD SUPPORT-
OFFICE
JUDGE
JUDGE

MINUTE ENTRY

The Court has received and reviewed on an expedited basis Petitioner/Mother
Emergency Motion for Change of Judge Re: for Cause Pursuant
to *A.R.S. 12-409* (filed) (the "Rule 6.1 Motion"). The Court finds that when
"determined by an objective standard, not by reference to the affiant's subjective belief," Rule
6.1(d)(5), ARFLP, Petitioner has failed to show by a preponderance of the evidence that Judge
has shown bias or prejudice against Petitioner. A detailed minute entry ruling will follow.

IT IS ORDERED DENYING the request for change of judge for cause.

COURT OF ARIZONA
COUNTY

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED DENYING all other relief requested in the motion.

All parties representing themselves must keep the Court updated with address changes.
A form may be downloaded at: