

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-031

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

May 16, 2025

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace was biased based upon sexual orientation in a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 16, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-031

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge _____ has refused to follow proper procedure under the laws of the State of Arizona based on the old small town ethics and bias. Judge _____ has now recused himself from the case with no reason given. Motions to reconsider previous rulings have been filed however I feel the bias in the case is based on sexual orientation and the fact that the judge potentially had a conflict and continued to see how far it would go with the Defendant until recusal was unable to not be an otpoint thinking the case would be settled prior.

The Judge clearing acted differently toward the Plaintiff (myself) as I am pro per and acting without counsel at this time and favored the Defendant in a clear manor not willing to hear any objections or take comments from me as the Plaintiff however giving ample time and regard to the Defendant outside of the reasons for a hearing or other issue. The Judge clearing had bias and the court took procedural issues in the case that showed bias towards the Defendant and the Judge, court staff, and Defense counsel have worked on the old term of "good old boy" network fo a small town.