

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-039

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

May 16, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings, was biased, denied her right to be heard, and had a potential conflict of interest in a domestic case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 16, 2025.

2025-039

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [ ] Judge's Name: [ ]

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

**Introduction**  
I, [ ] the respondent in Case No. [ ] respectfully submit this final administrative grievance to the [ ] County [ ] Court Administrative Department]. This grievance outlines significant procedural irregularities, judicial bias, and systemic failures that have occurred throughout my case. These issues have directly impacted my constitutional rights as a parent and my ability to receive a fair trial, thereby undermining the integrity of the judicial process. I request that this grievance be formally reviewed and addressed.

---

**Summary of Key Issues**  
1. Judicial Bias and Procedural Violations:  
o Judge [ ] acting as a commissioner, exceeded his jurisdiction by granting the [ ] ex parte order that removed my children from my care. Such an order required judicial authority and was improperly handled, violating my rights and procedural fairness.  
o This ex parte order was granted without proper notice or a verified motion, and it was based solely on unsubstantiated allegations by the petitioner. I was not afforded an opportunity to present evidence or respond, as required by due process.  
o On [ ] I was granted an Order of protection against [ ] by Judge [ ] acting Pro Tempore for Judge [ ]  
o On [ ] was granted an Order of Protection against me by Judge [ ] Pro Tempore for Judge [ ]  
o On [ ] I filed a motion for expedited hearing and to vacate due to the Petitioner perjuring to obtain and this was read as my response at [ ] Evidentiary hearing which now was also being overseen by Judge [ ]  
o [ ] was scheduled at [ ] on a [ ] afternoon. Judge [ ] made it clear that he was not a fan and would use his experience to decide accordingly. He denied my evidence and after the request of Petitioner demanded a hair follicle without any proof of substance abuse. Ignoring investigations, text messages that narrated threats of [ ] verbalverbal, and emotional abuse, being called a " [ ] " and zero charges or convictions in [ ] years of life. He stated I was not credible and granted sole legal decision making with parenting time at [ ] discretion. Ignoring not only my objection to him hiding and keeping the kids from me and using Protection order to further alienate and keep me from them but also ignoring [ ] County guidelines regarding visitation and lack of providing a parenting plan. He disregarded all of this and gave the man I had an ACTIVE ORDER OF PROTECTION AGAINST sole authority of my children. Writing no new orders only reaffirming the Original [ ] Temporary, unsignedunsigned, and unappealable order issued by Commissioner [ ]  
o [ ] at a I hearing I was never made aware of and [ ] never served me a copy of Judge [ ] dismissed my Order of protection stating in the minutes " [ ]  
This resulted in a series of attacks on myself, my home and my vehicles.  
o [ ] filed a Motion to Set and Certificate of Readiness stating that we had met discovery and disclosure. [ ] false, incomplete and lacked mandatory requirements or proof of irreparable harm required prior to

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Please note the minutes reflect "IN THE MARRIAGE of " I filed a Petition for Emergency Temporary Order without notice. For the following reasons: 1. The original paperwork was false, incomplete and lacked mandatory requirements or proof of irreparable harm required prior to transfer of custody WITHOUT NOTICE AND OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD. 2. Police reports and pictures that show the dumping and damaging and destruction of my property that had destroyed and threw all over my residence. Over of inventory and over in damages to vehicles. 3. investigation findings which substantiated zero substance abuse issues including statements and interviews with children. 4. criminal record including kidnapping, bound restraint, domestic abuse, Aggravated assault, Car jacking and receiving stolen property. NO hearing was held I was asked to wait in waiting area where I was later given the order acknowledging I may be due relief however citing ARFLP 48(a)(1) "

Judge Noted my noncompliance for unjustified hair follicle test Ordering "

A resolution management conference hearing was scheduled. This was a no evidence hearing and was not made to defend the allegations against him, I was told to " " I was not running this hearing" Judge stated that he has ordered follicle test many times and a lot of the times they run out of there and never come back because they know they won't pass, or they immediately take it to prove they are not. He expressed confusion at my choice to do either. Under A.R.S 25-403.04 I was absent from any convictions months or years prior to filing. I was denied my request to submit random urine testing and the court ignored findings to substantiate zero issues with Substance abuse. When I asserted this, I was told. "

he reaffirmed the original original unsigned, temporary and not appealable order issued by Commissioner I addressed the withholding of contact and abuse of protection order to keep me away from my children. I addressed County guidelines regarding visitation and was told. " ignoring my parenting plan submitted and the lack of one being submitted by

At Contested hearing with Judge for dismissal for retaliatory protection order against me by Judge stated that by demeanor and witness testimony and own testimony I did not commit an act of domestic violence. did under oath validate that he had misled the court and lied under oath to gain an advantage.

I filed for Order of Protection against My children were told they could hit, bite, punch me if I contacted them. They were told that I left them and didn't want them and that they had a new mother " and I was only their drug addict birth mother. had a undisclosed child with approximately years ago and I was told they did an at home paternity test and they then moved in. I also addressed the relationship the month prior with and her threats to me online and the shooting at her residence resulting in someone's death. I also referenced the damages to my home, my vehicles and ultimately it was denied stating "