

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-053

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

April 18, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge refused to rule on motions to withdraw counsel in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Joseph C. Kreamer, Regina L. Nassen, and Cathy Riggs did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 18, 2025.

2025-053

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Commission,

On _____, a hearing was conducted before _____ to address _____ Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record. However, _____ believed it was of importance that she first lay her foundation of blatant prejudice against _____ stated that she personally knew of the attorney's in the room (_____, and _____) and that all attorney's in her court are great attorney's—she did not know _____—her inappropriate remarks set the tone for bias to be conducted throughout the proceeding and to promote prejudice against _____.

_____ was in possession of three (3) motions:

- Defendant's Motion to Change Public Defender (already heard before _____)
- Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record, and
- Defendant's Response to Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record (Request to Stay)

Instead of addressing _____ Motion to Withdraw, _____ began to admonish _____ for her Response to _____ Motion and her previous motion that had already addressed by _____ manipulated each concern _____ addressed in her Motion by misstating each incident and reassembling it into several scenarios that did not pertain to the matter at hand in an apparent attempt to admonish _____. For example, _____ falsely accused _____ for requesting that _____ be removed as counsel and blamed her for not being able to get along with _____, when in fact, it was not _____ request for counsel to withdraw but _____ Motion to Withdraw. _____ disregard this correction and fact to continue on in her legal gaslighting and falsehoods.

_____ was corrected that each scenarios she concocted in her head did not pertain to anything in _____ Motion to Withdraw—when presented with corrections, _____ went on to create another false scenario such as, informing _____ that she cannot have counsel file frivolous motions when such request was never made of counsel. _____ informed _____ that she asked _____ to address her blanket and inappropriate release conditions of being prohibited from contact with minors and to address the neglected _____ Motion to Challenge the Grand Jury Proceedings that was never addressed after being filed. _____ did not address this response and moved on to continue admonishing _____.

_____ did not ask assigned counsel, _____ if he had any questions or remarks for the record—in fact, _____ spoke only to state his name for the record and to nod his head whenever _____, or _____ spoke.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

only asked of _____ and _____ if there was anything they wanted to add to the record. Emboldened by _____ dogwhistle, _____ followed suit, using this Motion to Withdraw hearing to pivot into an improper matter—petition _____ to Quash _____ Order of Protection against her client, and alleged victim, _____ and to enter a Minute Entry under false pretenses stating _____ had violated her release conditions by contacting the alleged victim because his criminal defense attorney, _____, accepted service of the Order of Protection from a _____ County Constable, _____.

It must be noted that _____ and _____ have an extremely close relationship. _____ and _____ both defamed _____, slandering her before _____ that she was seeking contact with the alleged victim, violating victim's rights, causing the alleged victim to be harassed by the media [knowing the alleged victim constantly seeks out media attention and to prejudice _____], causing media attention to the proceeding, and delaying proceedings; see attached: Defendant's Motion For Hearing To Address Prosecutorial Misconduct and Malicious Interference.

_____ informed _____ that _____ and _____ were dishonest and fabricating their accusations, that the alleged victim raped and abused her, and has been harassing _____ which is why the Restraining Order was granted against the alleged victim. _____ response was not to address _____ and _____ with this known fact, but to continue to admonish _____ over victim's right. (see attached: Defendant's Motion For Hearing To Address Prosecutorial Misconduct And Malicious Interference)

_____ is exceptionally biased and deeply corrupt in her character, judgement, and spirit. _____ conduct and abuse of discretion cannot go unchecked in the community. I find her to be dangerous, lacking in integrity and impartiality. It is strongly advised that the _____ hearing be heard in its entirety.

It is a well-known, unfortunate fact, that judges and prosecutors tend to be biased against defendants, especially Pro se defendants, in which they are shamed and stigmatized for being an advocate for oneself—this must change. Moreover, it is rare lest a verbal reprimand be given to such people sworn to uphold the constitution, to be fair and impartial, and to uphold the law. Nevertheless, it is most imperative this incident is reported and recorded, and it was further proposed by a higher legal office that I do so.

Attached to Complaint:

1. Defendant's Motion to Change Public Defender
2. Defendant's Response to Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record (Request to Stay)
3. Defendant's Motion For Hearing To Address Prosecutorial Misconduct and Malicious Interference

**IN THE COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF**

State of Arizona

Plaintiff,

v.

Defendant

CASE NO:

**Defendant’s Motion For Hearing To
Address Prosecutorial Misconduct And
Malicious Interference**

(Assigned to Hon.)

I am requesting the Court address the prosecutorial misconduct of and the unethical conduct and malicious interference of criminal defense attorney, , at the hearing set for .

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Since the discovery of the Order of Protection () against alleged victim, , for **rape, domestic violence, assault, third party threats, and criminal harassment during this very criminal proceeding,** and have spiraled into a frenzy of unethical conduct to further harass, smear, coerce, and violate my rights for protection (**Exhibit 1**).

On , a hearing was held before Hon. regarding a Motion to Withdraw. Instead, prosecutor used this time to resurrect the matter of the Order of Protection (“OOP”) and to doubled down in her intimidation tactics and falsehoods. , once again, misrepresented to the court that I am “ ” by way of OOP, in which his criminal defense attorney, accepted service for. further slandered me before the court stating, I was “

” requested that Hon. move to Quash the OOP. When that request returned fruitless, she pleaded that “ —a malfeasant, **dubious, manipulative act.**

The court must be reminded that has requested this very court to do the same during the hearing—move to Quash the OOP and find that I have violated my release conditions and be sent to prison (Exhibit 1). expressed to Hon. that “ ” because of me. **is well aware that the alleged victim, , had brought this criminal proceeding to light—nation- and worldwide—when he published Discovery from this matter online, his testimony, my personal contact information, doctored medical records and attorney-client privileged emails with my full name and identifying photos—distributing copies to various media outlets and social media platforms.** ¹

actively seeks to negligently and recklessly engager me and jeopardize my personal safety. has been in receipt of Police Department Report number , with exhibits that were produced outlining criminal harassment during this criminal proceeding, cyber stalking, rape threats, death threats, and threats of lynching ¹ — as referred by the reporting Police detective as an unlawful intent via electronic communication (LEWD), and Electronic Communication-Distribute/Publish PII. **ARS 13-2916A1.** Use of an electronic communication to terrify, intimidate, threaten or harass; unlawful use of electronic communication device; applicability; classification; definitions **A.** It is unlawful for a person to knowingly terrify, intimidate, threaten or harass a specific person or persons by doing any of the following: **1.** Directing any obscene, lewd or profane language or suggesting any lewd or lascivious act to the person in an electronic communication; and **ARS 13-2916A4. 4.** Without the person's consent and for the purpose of imminently causing the person unwanted physical contact, injury or harassment by a third party, use an electronic communication device to electronically distribute, publish, email, hyperlink or make available for downloading the person's personal identifying information, including a digital image of the person, and the use does in fact incite or produce that unwanted physical contact,

1. Hon. reviewed Exhibit 1 and was aware of the criminal harassment, yet continued to admonish me over the exhibit and the alleged victim's rights, turning a blind eye to both the alleged victim's exploits and and unethical conduct.

injury or harassment. This paragraph also applies to a person who intends to terrify, intimidate, threaten or harass an immediate family member of the person whose personal identifying information is used.

Additionally, during the hearing, stated that after , she began contacting former defense attorney, , to see if I “ ” and when her ploy did not work, she explained that she enlisted the alleged victim’s criminal defense attorney, —who is in receipt of the OOP—to solicit the information from the former defense attorney. It goes without saying, is a prosecutor for the State of Arizona and with that comes access to information, **yet she enlists to assist her in performing her prosecutorial duties.** Former defense attorney, confirmed that the phone conversations and emails from and were “ ” **me and coerce me** “ —for “ ” would be “ ” and “ ”.

has firsthand knowledge that this court set trial for and later resetting trial for —and at the hearing, was in agreement with request to push this trial past . is also informed that **has not conducted any of her in-person witness interviews and that I have never been allowed to review State’s Discovery—yet alleged victim, (a non party) is with permission to publish State’s Discovery on the internet.** Nevertheless, in her endeavor to further slander and discredit me at the hearing, stated —in her unmitigated gall—that I am “ “ ,”² and that I am “ unethically capitalizing upon falsehoods; partaking in prevarications and intentional harm.

Prosecutor must abstain from “Judge Shopping,” soliciting different judges to Quash my Order of Protection—advocating for my harm—and to refrain from interjecting herself into a civil matter, seeking to litigate on behalf to which she is not a party. **must cease from all malfeasance, smear campaigns, deception, harassment, intimidation, threats,**

2. Hon. was made aware of the slander and stated, “ ,” and continued on to admonish me over Exhibit 1 and the alleged victim’s rights, again turning a blind eye to and unethical conduct.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**