

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-059

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 10, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge improperly denied his bond reduction in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Joseph C. Kreamer, and Scott H. O'Connor did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 10, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-059

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

[Empty box for Name]

Judge's Name:

[Empty box for Judge's Name]

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

WHERE: COURT of ARIZONA - COUNTY

WHEN: @ ADDRESS.

Who: Judge

What: This court date was only a result of me (inmate) filling a motion for O.R. &/or bond reduction due to medical hardship which I've endured () while being held in cust with COUNTY Sheriff's Office facilities. Already having pre existing medical conditions (being shot times) seemed to have only created a burden to () to provide (if any) proper medications as well as timely services due to the lack of (trained) staff, which has been extremely short hand (like correctional officers). All these situations that I've dated above (as well as pre existing injuries) I was never ticketed / charged for due to being the victim. Knowing such, felt it to be vitally important to voice to Judge to reduce my bond (at least) from (cash only). So I'd have the opportunity to go to the facial doctor (due to my not aligning due to), to visit the EAR NOSE THROAT (ENT) (due to not well if not at all since \$), Neurologist (due to \$ from) which when seen by doctor on identified a mass "growing" in my head & show me the importance to take twice a day (unfortunately not always given) my primary care doctor (many referrals), as well as the podiatrist (I'm & need insoles). All of this medical fields I normally see twice a year at least. After voicing such, Judge she said that she would interview / speak with to get an understanding if any actions were "deemed" necessary. Judge never did such, & request audio / video constituting judicial misconduct, when it comes to ethical code. Thank - you for your time. Arbitrariness actions can't be hidden with in the court of LAW.

COURT OF ARIZONA
COUNTY

HONORABLE

CLERK OF THE COURT
Deputy

STATE OF ARIZONA

v.

()

JUDGE

MINUTE ENTRY

THERE IS A LATER AT THE END OF THIS MINUTE ENTRY:

Courtroom

State's Attorney:

Defendant's Attorney:

Defendant: Present

A record of the proceedings is made digitally in lieu of a court reporter.

This is the time set for Oral Argument/Motion to Modify Release Conditions.

Defendant's Motion to Modify Release Conditions is argued to the Court.

Based on the information presented,

IT IS ORDERED taking Defendant's Motion to Modify Release Conditions under advisement. ***See Later***

COURT OF ARIZONA
COUNTY

Discussion is held regarding status of this matter.

IT IS ORDERED affirming Final Trial Management Conference for _____ at _____ before this division.

IT IS ORDERED affirming Trial for _____ at _____ before Master Calendar Assignment Judge. The Trial Assignment hearing is in person for all lawyers and defendants. The parties should contact the Trial Assignment division prior to the Trial Assignment date to request to appear virtually. The purpose of the hearing is to set dates for (1) the Trial Procedure Conference and (2) the jury selection date.

All self-represented litigants shall appear in person at the trial assignment date unless otherwise ordered. All in custody defendants shall be transported unless otherwise ordered.

Should the Trial Assignment Judge approve a virtual appearance, the Assignment Judge's division will email parties instructions and a link to join the Court Connect videoconference (for more information, visit _____). A hearing participant can also join by telephone by dialing _____ and entering Access Code _____. # If a virtual appearance has been approved counsel of record and defendants are ordered to appear via videoconference with their webcams enabled.

IT IS ORDERED that no time be excluded. LAST DAY REMAINS: _____.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED affirming prior custody orders.

Defendant is directed to appear at all scheduled court hearings and advised of the potential consequences should he/she fail to appear.

Matter concludes.

LATER:

Pending is the Defendant's Motion to Modify Release Conditions. The State opposes the request. The Court heard oral argument, today, _____.

IT IS ORDERED denying the Motion to Modify Release Conditions.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED affirming current release conditions.