

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-065

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

April 11, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge contradicted his own orders, systematically denied her right to fair representation, and demonstrated clear judicial bias in favor of the opposing party.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 11, 2025.

2025-065

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [] Judge's Name: []

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I am submitting this formal complaint against the presiding judge [] in Case No. [] due to clear violations of due process and the Fourteenth Amendment rights of both the Plaintiff [] and myself, [] (Sole Member of Plaintiff LLC). The judge has contradicted his own orders, systematically denied my right to fair representation, and demonstrated clear judicial bias in favor of the defendant, [] Owners Association. His actions have resulted in a gross miscarriage of justice and require urgent intervention.

Summary of Judicial Misconduct and Due Process Violations
Contradiction of His Own Court Order ([])
 On [] the judge granted an order explicitly stating that [] would be represented pro se by its sole member. During the conference on [] the judge refused to follow his own [] order, which explicitly allowed the Plaintiff to represent itself. When I reminded him of this order, he stated, " [] and declared that he would not follow it. When the judge denied my right to represent the LLC, I requested permission to dissolve [] so that I could proceed as an individual Plaintiff. However, he arbitrarily refused to sign the dissolution papers, effectively blocking the Plaintiff from pursuing its claims and eliminating any path to legal recourse.

Denial of Pro Se Representation Despite Legal Precedent
 On [] judge has rejected my motion to correct the party designation on the docket as per his own [] order, further demonstrating procedural manipulation. On [] judge refused my Motion to Proceed Pro Se on behalf of my LLC, despite the fact that I presented relevant case laws supporting this right. The general rule requiring LLCs to be represented by an attorney is intended to protect the LLC's interests, yet in this case, every prior attorney involved has caused severe harm to the Plaintiff's interests and all those six Attorneys are under investigations by [] for misconduct. Given the extraordinary circumstances—where [] former attorneys representing this case have been reported to the [] for misconduct, are under active investigation by [] Counsel, and one has already been formally reprimanded—it is evident that the Plaintiff has endured severe legal misrepresentation. Furthermore, new attorneys have declined representation due to the complexities surrounding previous counsel, and their written denials have been submitted to the court. Under such exceptional conditions, the judge should have recognized the necessity of permitting pro se representation, as has been allowed in similar cases. Instead, he deliberately ignored both the purpose and intent of the rule and the supporting case law, leaving the Plaintiff without any legal recourse. This act was a direct obstruction of justice and violated my constitutional rights Under Fourteenth Amendment to access the courts.

Judicial Bias in Favor of the Defendant
 The judge has consistently approved motions and submissions from the defendant while striking and rejecting every submission from me.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The Defendant falsely claimed under oath that they had submitted a joint scheduling report—which was never approved by the Plaintiff. The judge was aware of this false statement yet still granted the Defendant's scheduling order.

The scheduling order, drafted solely by the Defendant, is strategically designed to shield the Defendant from serious allegations, including financial fraud, misconduct, public safety violations leading to Public injuries, civil and criminal citations by _____ against defendant and the malicious foreclosure claim, which was filed in retaliation against a whistleblower. The Defendant's board members are directly implicated in these fraudulent activities, yet the judge has taken deliberate steps to protect them.

Striking Motions and Submissions of defendant _____ Without Justification

Since _____ the judge has designated me, _____ as a Defendant and an appeared party in this case. However, despite recognizing me as a named party, he has struck down all motions and filings I have made, preventing me from presenting my claims.

This includes striking my claim for endangerment to my personal safety by the Defendant, an egregious violation of my Fourteenth Amendment rights to be heard and seek justice.

Deliberate Suppression of Fraud and Misconduct Allegations

This case involves serious allegations of financial fraud, consumer fraud, public safety violations, and retaliation via a malicious foreclosure claim.

The Defendant's false foreclosure claim, made under oath, was a direct act of perjury to mislead the court.

The judge's refusal to allow fair representation of the Plaintiff has shielded the Defendant from accountability

Investigations and Attorney Collusion

_____ previous attorneys hired to represent the Plaintiff have been reported to the _____ for misconduct and potential collusion with the Defendant.

One attorney has already been reprimanded, while the others remain under active investigation.

Defendant's own filings admit to engaging in verbal agreements with Plaintiff's former attorneys to:

Downgrade the case tier.

Bypass required financial disclosures.

Obstruct the filing of treble damage claims totaling _____

Despite these admissions, the judge has taken no action to correct these violations and has instead protected the Defendant.

Conclusion

This case involves severe public interest concerns, including financial fraud, public safety violations, perjury, and retaliatory litigation. The presiding judge's misconduct has enabled the Defendant to escape accountability and obstructed the Plaintiff's right to justice.