

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-086

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

December 23, 2025

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace silenced him and used questioning to pressure legal positions favorable to the plaintiff in an eviction case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 23, 2025.

2025-086

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: [ ] Judge's Name: [ ]

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

**I. Introduction**  
I submit this formal complaint against Judge \_\_\_\_\_ of the Justice Court of \_\_\_\_\_ County for judicial misconduct, bias, and willful disregard for due process in my eviction case. His actions violate Arizona's Code of Judicial Conduct and undermine public confidence in the judiciary.

This is my second complaint against Judge \_\_\_\_\_. Previously, I filed a complaint after he ignored a witness' s sworn admission of assault against me, ruled in favor of the aggressor, and allowed court clerks to mislead me into believing I needed a \_\_\_\_\_ supersedeas bond, when in reality, the cost was around \_\_\_\_\_. The investigation into that case confirmed procedural misconduct.

Now, in my current eviction case, Judge \_\_\_\_\_ has again shown clear bias, manipulated procedural rules, and stripped me of my right to fully participate in my own defense.

**II. Violations of Judicial Conduct & Due Process**

**1. Silencing Me as if I Were a Violent Criminal Defendant**  
When I passionately advocated for myself—as is my right in a civil case—Judge \_\_\_\_\_ threatened me with contempt, and restricted my ability to speak unless spoken to.  
This level of control is typically reserved for violent or disruptive criminal defendants, not pro se civil litigants trying to defend their rights.  
Legal Violation: Canon 2, Rule 2.6 (right to be heard).

**2. Manipulating Trial Scope to Favor \_\_\_\_\_ College**  
Judge \_\_\_\_\_ actively used his questioning to pressure me into legal positions favorable to the plaintiff while expanding the trial in ways that disadvantaged me.  
His conduct was not that of a neutral adjudicator but of an advocate for the plaintiff.  
Legal Violation: Canon 2, Rule 2.2 (impartiality).

**3. Denying a Fully Briefed Motion Without Legal Justification**  
I submitted a legally sound motion, which was denied outright with no explanation or legal basis.  
A judge cannot arbitrarily reject motions without providing reasoning, yet Judge \_\_\_\_\_ routinely does so, leaving no path for meaningful appeal.  
Legal Violation: Canon 2, Rule 2.6 (ensuring the right to be heard).

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

4. Pattern of Favoritism Toward Opposing Counsel  
Judge \_\_\_\_\_ has:  
Allowed procedurally improper motions from \_\_\_\_\_ College while dismissing my well-founded arguments.  
Consistently given procedural advantages to opposing counsel while restricting my ability to fully present my case.  
Created a structural due process violation by ensuring one-sided application of court rules.  
Legal Violation: Canon 1, Rule 1.2 (maintaining public confidence in judicial impartiality).

5. Recurring History of Procedural Abuse  
In both this case and my prior case, Judge \_\_\_\_\_ has:  
Ignored sworn testimony in favor of procedural manipulation.  
Misused court staff to mislead pro se litigants.  
Repeatedly ruled contrary to law with no justification.

III. Requested Action & Relief  
I request that the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct:

Investigate Judge \_\_\_\_\_ conduct in this case and my prior case.  
Require Judge \_\_\_\_\_ to issue a written response justifying his denial of my well-briefed motion.  
Review whether his decision to silence me was appropriate in a civil case.  
Issue a public reprimand or sanctions for clear judicial bias and due process violations.  
Consider disqualifying Judge \_\_\_\_\_ from future eviction cases involving pro se litigants due to his established pattern of procedural abuse.

IV. Conclusion  
Judge \_\_\_\_\_ actions have made a fair trial impossible in his courtroom. His efforts to silence me, manipulate trial scope, and deny legal motions without basis reflect clear misconduct that must be addressed.

Without intervention, Judge \_\_\_\_\_ will continue to use procedural manipulation to disadvantage pro se litigants and serve institutional interests over the law.

I request a formal response from the Commission regarding next steps in this investigation.