

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-087

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 11, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge used an appeal to cause a delayed ruling and created an undue burden by requiring Complainant to provide copies of all documents to opposing parties in a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Regina L. Nassen and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 11, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025 - 087

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [] Judge's Name: Judge []

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I have submitted several motions starting in early [] in this matter they have 60 days, none of the motions have been ruled on to date and judge continues to put undue burdens on Plaintiff.

at each court session Plaintiff has reminded judge she is without running water and on [] reminded judge of their broad scope under the constitution and civil procedures act. he continues to delay judgement. request for investigation you pull transcripts in lieu of minutes as many details aren't included.

in order to compel a ruling several motions filed and even requested a writ of mandamus compelling Respondent to rule. in our last session [] judge advised because of this filing "writ of mandamus" his hands are now tied as I stripped him of his jurisdiction and extended to [] to give the appeals court time. also note, the online evidence platform provided to upload still won't grant me access and the judge has asked which motions he should rule on and that I have to resubmit all previously submitted evidence (with motions as went along) into this new online platform, continuing to place undue burdens on Plaintiff, as they should rule on all motions and accept evidence provided to date.

another undue burden example, after confirming opposing counsel has access to filing system and his client should have provided him with all motions, it was ordered that Plaintiff provide all motions to date by end of [] @ [] county requires and all or none online access. plaintiff chose to use mailing which at 50 cents per page is steep and Plaintiff asked court for accommodation to provide electronic copies to remit to opposing counsel and was denied. this was an attempt to force plaintiff to use online methods and waste valuable time.

* Writ of Mandamus Case #