

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-090

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 11, 2025

The Complainant alleged a municipal court judge denied him the ability to introduce evidence in a protective order case because Complainant failed to supply a media player. Complainant also alleges the judge was biased and accepted lies by the opposing party.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Regina L. Nassen and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 11, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-090

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The Municipal clerk staff verbally informed me during my appeal submission that evidence can be submitted via flash drive. Following these instructions I placed my recorded evidence on a flash drive and brought the evidence to court. I arrived early for court and immediately informed the judge and her staff I had evidence contained on my flash drive. Several times following I mentioned I had evidence contained on a flash drive I wished to submit and I again mentioned I believed my case would be dismissed based on evidence contained on my flash drive when the judge asked me if all evidence was present or if continuance would be required. Never at any time was I informed a Media playing device was required by myself in order to submit evidence to the court. I was under the impression that the judge had a computer in front of her capable of viewing evidence. Only once I attempted to submit my evidence was I informed and my evidence was refused submission which I feel strongly benefitted my accusers and allowed them to commit numerous false perjured statements under oath that I could otherwise have disproven. I even offered to surrender my cell phone which contained the unedited recordings and communications and the judge refused to accept the most critical and crucial evidence of all. The very device I was accused of making threats from. Also my accusers were allowed to publicly discredit and disparage my character throughout the entire proceedings bringing up incidents unrelated from my past or any previous time of employment and was even allowed to yell across the court room and I quote " as she yelled " " and at no time did the judge ever intercede and any attempt to intercede or object I was berated by the judge. was allowed to raise his voice at me under question on the witness stand and point his finger at me menacingly. The accusers were allowed to lie and commit perjury and any attempt I made to object or provide evidence was responded to with beratement by the judge. I was not allowed to ask questions about incidents or actions related outside of two dates specified by my accusers were not held by similar constraints. often when I attempted my accusers my questions were stopped almost immediately by the judge and the majority of my speaking time or question time was me being berated by the judge. the judge was openly hostile and I basically knew the judgement outcome before it was even issued. The accuser and judge both admitted no physical evidence of any kind was present of me ever making threats and my second amendment rights were still infringed by the angry judge. Unlike my accusers I never raise my voice or displayed outright anger and within the first 2 minutes of the court proceedings the judge was berating me for obstruction of court proceedings because I objected 1 statement and I softly chuckled out loud. I feel there was obvious judicial abuse in the case and clear discrimination displayed by the proceeding judge and I feel my sixth amendment right to a fair trial was violated along with my second amendment right to bear and possess firearms. I feel this judge displayed unfair and unprofessional conduct and her conduct needs review and if necessary possible removal from position. I am a decorated veteran relate to upstanding hard working Americans and any attempt I made to defend my character the judge accused me of attempts to persuade the court. the treatment I received versus the treatment my accusers who are recorded on my evidence admitting to smoking crack receive makes me think the city of has more respect for drug addicts than its veterans. I'm very disappointed in the disrespect I received in Municipal court. I strongly feel I was impeded by the judge who refused me any opportunity of a fair defence.