

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-097

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 10, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge threatened a bar complaint, made improper rulings, and conducted hearings without counsel present.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Scott H. O'Connor did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 10, 2025.

2025-097

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Res..

Pg. 1 of my dissertation I refer to defendants incapacibilities because of ^{decline} because whether its recognized by the court has to do w/outcome of judges decisions and procedural duties. Defendant had a P.R. pre-op appt. for there wasnt anything for court but I'm sure your honor has been made aware. I dont know why after a communication w/ P.D. a court date appeared for that morning. Procedure would be to have a virtual w/ what was happening health wise w/ patient/defendant. I dont know again if the judge knew. Because of his lack of ability and timing he missed the virtual by a minute & seconds. Not everything depends henceforth if the judge knew because she did. Defendant was taken after medical advisors protest to ^{for} failure to appear. He would of needed to speak by the nurses station (no privacy). I next was reprimanded in court about getting involved any further and if I did judge said Ill send a complaint to the bar assoc. She was referring to the paper (complaint) I'd made about requesting a new atty. as I'd written about why I wrote it which I was told that complaint had to be given to her office. The complaint was initiated by the defendant being upset at nursing home and their social worker downloaded the papers for another atty. I simply delivered them to her office as is procedure. Defendant was confined to wheelchair & nursing home (NOT). I too took an oath as his P.O.A. Medical continuances are few w/ this case. There are more conflicts for scheduling.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I don't know what concerns the judge ^{had} about me giving reason at defendant's request for an atty to represent him. Also its documented that his previous atty. recused himself and the former judge refered him to Rule 11. Neft well go to what I can now add this report but lets depress

The defendant has gone to a forfeiture hearing and because of circumstances dropped the first bond. This point neft. is important. I dont understand why Judge knowingly give him a ^{would} cash bond knowing of his illness and dire need of a procedure which "his" Cardiologist has seen him twice. I have records and his bill. Because he had no intent of skirting or shirking anything. This bond sends his health more to peril. More time has passed now and since I wrote the 1st letter there's more.

most recently there were two court dates for which he found out by the deputies he was going and I couldn't get a ride. Those crt. days were no shows by anyone except his atty and thats ok except it was said he was gapping (wasnt present). Later I asked what happened and he said "There is a difference in the way" defendants are treated as I have been there. This declining because he was already reading "memory care" in I want to refer back to the defendant had no idea why he was there w/out his P.D. He understood nothing.

I am writing the violations out where your pamphlet reads scope of authority. I will number each possible violation. Then I will number in the letter and designate which part is the violation possibly. I hope then it will be easier for whomever to assess.

- ① Willful misconduct in office
- ② Willful & persistent failure to perform duties
- ③ Habitual intemperance e.g. alcohol or drug abuse
- ④ disabilities that interfere w/ judicial duties
- ⑤ conduct that brings the judiciary into disrepute
- ⑥ a violation of the Judicial Conduct

Attention: You'll need to read what I wrote in (your) format first please. I then took the portions I wrote and separated them by summarizing the complaints and then numbered them into the category for which they belong.

The oversights are listed on this page that have to do w/ the defendants known inability as I'm sure she had knowledge of the nursing home situation. His medical issues were I'm sure made known (3) Her constant intemperance of defendants medical issues w/ re: to his ability to attend court w/ re: especially noted on are inexcusable to other medical professionals. His competence or lack thereof is ridiculed and chalked up to his being obstinate or untrustworthy. (5)

The judge reprimanding me as if I had committed a crime while delivering specified documents on the defendants behalf is inexcusable. The documents had to be delivered by the Courts own procedural protocol. I was following protocol as the P.O.A. for the defendant when she threatened to report me to the . This last issue also falls into (1) Willful misconduct. Judge may have noticed defendants incompetence

but has chosen by her actions to deem those responses or lack of a response to be defiance by the defendant. He was referred to Rule 11.

(2) Finally the cash bond of knowing he was in a nursing home w/out regard for his medical needs for his ability to continue coming to court. This is especially true after the "forfeiture hearing". (1) On and

On there was no public defender and defendant was more confused. The atty of record was there but the court didn't make what was to have happened or did happen clear. Finally this judge's allowances for no representation for the defendant and actions in general put the office in definite disrepute. I hope this is better and I've referenced better judiciary misconduct.

Thank You
Respectfully,

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**