

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-101

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 10, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner did not review the evidence in a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Scott H. O'Connor did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 10, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-101

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

was assigned my civil case. I wrote a full testimony explaining the accounts and evidence provided. When [redacted] made judgement on my case she provided false statements and allegations that I did not state. She did not look over every single evidence section provided to her or her ruling wouldn't have stated these inaccurate statements. Evidence was not clearly read, taking into account, or deemed justifiable under the discovery rule. If discovery was taken into account, [redacted] would have seen the email addressing my lack of knowledge to the diagnosis code, from my PCP, which the code was in [redacted], while discovery wasnt until [redacted], which evidence shown. My case was not taken seriously, nor was my evidence actually read or the final ruling wouldn't have stated inaccurate statements. All my evidence including testimony was provided and served appropriately. The defedant never even responded

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Cont.

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Which should have had the claim in my favor anyway because all procedural steps were followed and served. No denial from the defedant came forth. This along with the false statements hold accountability that my case was not appropriately handled!

To add, if the police would have been ordered to investigate the evidence of the day I was purposely infected, it wouldve proven Charles is the person who infected me.