

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 2025-103

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 10, 2025

The Complainant alleged a different judge presided over the Rule 11 hearing that was not the trial judge in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The allegations of the complaint in this matter solely raised disagreements with legal rulings. The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. "A good faith error of fact or law does not violate this rule. However, a pattern of legal error or an intentional disregard of the law may constitute misconduct." See comment 3 to Rule 2.2 of the Code of Judicial conduct. Any violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct must be proven by clear and convincing evidence.

A mistake in a ruling does not automatically mean that the judicial officer has engaged in judicial misconduct. Judicial officers are required to exercise their discretion in making their decisions. Even if a judicial officer's decision is legally or factually incorrect, the ruling is generally considered to be "legal error" and not "ethical misconduct" that the Commission can address. When a complaint to the Commission solely relates to the correctness of a judicial officer's legal decisions, the Complainant is seeking the Commission to function in the capacity of an appellate court. Correcting legal errors is the role of the appellate courts, not the Commission.

The Commission is a regulatory body with limited jurisdiction. The Commission is not a court, and as such, it does not have any authority to overturn a judicial officer's rulings, intervene in a case, assign a new judge to hear a case, or award any monetary or other relief. The Commission process cannot be a substitute for seeking appellate review.

The Commission reviewed all information submitted by the Complainant and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

A Duty Panel comprised of Commission members Regina L. Nassen, Scott H. O'Connor, and Christopher P. Staring considered this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 10, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-103

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

[Redacted Name]

Judge's Name:

[Redacted Judge's Name]

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I attended court on [redacted] scheduled in front
 of a [redacted], INSTEAD WAS (HEARD) BY
 A [redacted], HE INFORMED ME
 I WAS BEING HELD WITHOUT BOND "ILLEGALLY"
 AND FOUND INCOMPETENT, THAT I HAD BEEN TWO
 DOCTORS AND BOTH MURKED, I INFORMED HIM I HAD
 ONLY SEEN ONE DOCTOR AT WHICH POINT HE PROVIDED
 HIMSELF AND THAT THEY WERE USING RECORDS FROM
 SEVERAL YEARS PRIOR, "ILLEGALLY" I INFORMED
 HIM I HAD SEVERAL DOCUMENTS I WANTED TO FILE
 AGAINST THE STATE TO BEING CHARGED AGAINST
 THE PROSECUTOR AS WAS MY RIGHT, (GENERAL
 OFFENSE REPORTS, CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS) BUT
 THEY WOULD NOT ACCEPT ANYTHING FROM ME,
 I ASKED IF HE WAS [redacted] HE REPLIED
 NO YES YOUR TRIAL JUDGE, REFUSED TO
 GIVE ME ~~THE~~ OR BEING NUMBER OR
 FULL LAWYER NAME FOR RECORD,
 SCHEDULED 2X.