

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-110

Judge:

Complainants:

ORDER

July 11, 2025

The Complainants alleged a justice of the peace improperly excluded their evidence and prejudged a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Regina L. Nassen and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 11, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-110

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

On _____ between _____ and _____

This judge refused to accept journal articles with extensive research conducted by doctors, toxicologists, researchers noting the carcinogenesis of the products _____ and _____. These were used heavily in our home in _____ by _____ the defendants here. Arizona law dictates that: research articles may be used in Arizona civil cases, per rule #123. This law notes: using a public record for research or evidence in a judicial proceeding is allowed as long as it is not used for commercial purposes. We did not use these articles of research for any commercial purposes. This judge was very prejudiced against us. We felt very discriminated against and our first ammendment rights were at times violated. The judge on many occasions was assuring the defendants he would side with them before even hearing our testimony and presentations. He actually asked the defendants if it was alright for him to make his rulings. This to us showed incompetence. This is a retired judge and he seemed out of touch with current knowledge. He claimed to have a background in environmental law. However, we felt his knowledae was antiquated and not up to current standards. Our child died of _____ due to the _____ exposures from the above pesticides. The documentation provided was conclusive on this. It also noted that _____ exposures can take 20-40 years before the cancer shows up. This judge stated that pesticides today are safer. This is a totally biased and false statement. We provided immense documentation on the current information regarding pesticides and cancers and it definitively showed the causation. It went right over this judge's head. He finally asked the defendants if they would offer compensation for our loss, and they obviously refused. He was supposed to order, not ask, compensation and settlement and he did not perform his duties. The defendants were poorly prepared and made hyperbole out of our signatures back in _____ when the treatments were done. However, this case was about not getting informed consent as to the carcinogenesis of these products, to let us know how harmful they are and were. It was about negligence on the part of _____. The judge never told the opposing side to concentrate on the issues at hand. The judge kept talking to the defendants and ignoring us at times. We spent over _____ hard earned dollars to submit and serve papers and were gyped with a terrible judge. Shame on this court. We feel there should be another judge at your court to take a look at this. We feel his opinion is flawed.