

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-112

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 11, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner made improper rulings and was confused during a hearing in an injunction against harassment.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Regina L. Nassen and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 11, 2025.

From:

Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>

Subject: Complaint about Judicial Misconduct

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

re: Commissioner
County Court

I had a case before this judge
The case is not pending
Case Number

On I filed a petition for an injunction against harassment for an out of state
person from . This Petition was filed at County Court.

I was heard by Commissioner . At the start of the hearing, Commissioner displayed
confusion about the information in my petition including the County in which the defendant resides,
repeatedly remarking that is in County and I should not have listed County
as her location. I did not list her location as County, I stated that I was contacted by
County Sheriff's Department.

This initial confusion continued during Commissioner reading of my statement, during which
he repeatedly asked me questions and then interrupted my answers to tell me he had not asked me
a question.

Commissioner personal misunderstanding of Counties should not be a factor in
my hearing. Commissioner forgetfulness during testimony should not inhibit my ability to be
heard.

I am concerned that Commissioner may have health concerns or other factors that have
reduced his ability to function as an officer of the court, and to preserve the right to due process.

dated