

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-132

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 24, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge had a conflict of interest, was biased and made improper rulings in a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 24, 2025.

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Complainant's Name:

Judge's Name:

Statement of Judicial Misconduct

I am filing this complaint against Judge _____ for multiple violations of judicial ethics and constitutional law in her handling of my cases. Below are the specific allegations:

1. Conflict of Interest & Improper Case Consolidation

Judge _____ presided over multiple cases of mine simultaneously, creating a clear conflict of interest. This improper consolidation affected the impartiality and fairness of the proceedings.

2. Improper Handling of a Civil Breach of Contract Case

In case _____, which was a civil breach of contract matter, Judge _____ improperly transformed the case into a criminal proceeding by basing decisions on my past criminal convictions. This was a direct violation of my Fourteenth Amendment rights, including Equal Protection under the Law and Due Process. My prior record had been expunged, making its use in a civil matter unlawful and prejudicial.

3. Judicial Bias & Connections with Opposing Counsel

Judge _____ demonstrated bias in my case, particularly due to her association with opposing counsel, _____, a professor at _____ School of Law. Both Judge _____ and _____ serve on various legal boards together, raising serious concerns about impartiality. Her rulings consistently favored the opposing party, often without proper legal justification.

4. Denial of Motions & Suppression of Evidence

Throughout the proceedings, Judge _____ routinely denied my motions without reading them or conducting open-court hearings. This included the removal of key evidence and motions from the court record, obstructing my ability to present a full and fair defense.

5. Retaliatory & Intimidating Behavior

Judge _____ engaged in conduct that can be described as intimidating and retaliatory. She repeatedly threatened to sanction me for raising legitimate legal concerns. Furthermore, she illegally removed my co-plaintiff from the case docket, along with all of my co-plaintiff's statements, evidence, and motions. These actions call into question the integrity of the entire judicial process.

6. Pattern of Misconduct

The judge's actions indicate a broader pattern of judicial misconduct, where due process violations, intimidation, and bias appear to be standard practice. This conduct undermines public trust in the judicial system.

Legal Basis for Complaint

Judge _____ actions violate multiple legal and ethical standards, including but not limited to:

- Fourteenth Amendment (Due Process & Equal Protection)
- Canon 1 & Canon 2(A) of the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct (Judicial Integrity and Impartiality)
- Canon 3(B)(5) & 3(B)(8) (Fairness and Proper Case Management)
- 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (Civil Rights Violation – Abuse of Power)

Requested Action

I respectfully request that the Judicial Conduct Commission:

1. Investigate Judge _____ conduct for violations of judicial ethics and constitutional law.

2. Review the case docket to assess improper judicial actions, including suppression of evidence and removal of motions.

3. Consider disciplinary action, including censure, suspension, or removal, if warranted.

I appreciate your prompt attention to this matter. Please let me know if additional documentation is required.

Signature: _____

(Date)