

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-129

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 11, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased in legal rulings in a domestic case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Regina L. Nassen and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 11, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025 - 129

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

In regards to
Case number _____, the
judge assigned to the matter, demonstrated abuse of
power, sexism, and bias. Evidence was provided
by both Petitioner and Respondent but the judge
only went through Respondent's evidence which
should not have been allowed to start with
due to evidence that was provided was either
false or false and not relevant to the case.
Judge did not go through evidence in her warrants

Petitioner provided
accurate and sufficient evidence to warrant
a temporary order hearing.

Judge allowed respondent to lie while under
oath. When Plaintiff counsel cross examined
Respondent she gave completely different answers to
the same questions Respondent's counsel had also
asked

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Respondent provided proof she and children that are listed in the case reside with a felony convicted child molester who also watches children in question, alone. Respondent provided proof of her own mental instability. Respondent provided proof of domestic violence between herself and respondents mother the resulted in the cops being called multiple times and the cops calling for fear of the children. Respondent provided additional proof of mental instability with a police report made by respondents mother, respondent threaten to kill herself with children in vehicle. Another report stated Respondent and Plaintiff got in a verbal agument in regards to wanting to abort now age due to her mental instability. Judge did not consider any of the danger listed above and instead restricted Plaintiff from children with no legal or valid reason.

FILED

O'Clock



Name: _____

Mailing Address: Court

Daytime Telephone: _____

Representing Self, Without a Lawyer

By: _____ Clerk

IN THE COURT OF ARIZONA COUNTY

Regarding the matter of

TEMPORARY ORDER REGARDING

Petitioner _____

and

Respondent _____

- Legal Decision-Making
- Child Support
- Parenting Time
- Spousal Maintenance
- Exclusive Use of Home
- Attorney Fees
- Property/Debt

NOTICE: THIS IS AN IMPORTANT COURT ORDER THAT AFFECTS YOUR RIGHTS. READ THIS ORDER CAREFULLY. IF YOU DO NOT UNDERSTAND IT, CONTACT AN ATTORNEY FOR LEGAL ADVICE.

THE COURT FINDS:

1. A sworn Motion for Pre-Decree/Judgment Temporary Orders was filed with the Court on (date) _____ by Petitioner/Respondent, respectively. The Court read the Motion, scheduled a hearing and took testimony as appropriate, determined that testimony was not required, considered all relevant matters, and issues a Temporary Order as follows.
2. This Court has jurisdiction to enter temporary orders based upon ARS 325-1031 and has jurisdiction over the parties under the law. Where it has the legal power to do so and where it is applicable to the facts of this case, this Court has considered, approved, and made Orders relating to the above matters.
3. This Order is in the best interest of the following child(ren) who are covered by this Order because: Petitioner has committed acts of domestic violence upon Respondent in the presence of the children. With the safeguards put in place, Petitioner should have parenting time as described below. While Petitioner alleged Respondent also committed acts of domestic violence against her, the court was not given the information needed to make a finding.
 Name: _____ Date of Birth: _____
 Name: _____ Date of Birth: _____
 Name: _____ Date of Birth: _____
 Name: _____ Date of Birth: _____
4. **Legal Decision-Making.** If legal decision-making is ordered, these are the specific findings required by law.
 Sole legal decision-making specific findings: There is a significant history of domestic violence by Petitioner against Respondent, and the presumption of ARS 325-403.03 arises.

Pet: Your Arizona Lawyer (e)

Resp: _____ (e)

Joint legal decision-making specific findings: _____

5. **Parenting Time.** If parenting time is ordered, these are the specific findings required by law.
Petitioner's current living situation is not appropriate for regular overnight parenting time.

6. An order for child support spousal support medical insurance is appropriate and based upon the inability of the receiving spouse to support him/herself and/or minor child(ren) without financial assistance from the spouse who has the ability to pay.

Specific findings: The Court received no information regarding child support factors of Petitioner for determining temporary child support.

CHILD SUPPORT DEVIATION: This Court, having considered the best interests of the child(ren), deviates from the Guidelines because the application of the Guidelines is:

Inappropriate or unjust because _____

the parties have signed a written agreement with knowledge of the amount of support that would have been ordered by the Guidelines but for the agreement and the agreement was entered into free of duress and coercion.

The child support would have been \$ _____
The child support after deviation is \$ _____

Pursuant to the Parent's Worksheet for Child Support Amount reviewed by the Court, the Obligor has the ability to pay the ordered child support.

7. An order for exclusive use of the home is appropriate and based upon
 Primary physical residence arrangements;
 Inability of the parties to reside together peaceably.

Specific findings: _____

8. An order for property debt division is appropriate and based upon _____

9. An order for attorney fees ^{is not} ~~is~~ appropriate and based upon

The Petitioner Respondent does not have the funds to retain an attorney.

The other party has retained an attorney.

The other party has sufficient income or assets to pay the requested attorney fees in the amount of \$ _____

Specific findings: Attorney fees are not awarded on the Motions for Temporary Orders. Both parties acted unreasonably -- Petitioner by submitting over 300 exhibits and Respondent by not sending exhibits.

10. Advance notice was required and was properly given. Both parties participated with counsel.

Advance was not required because:

The following irreparable injury, loss or damage would have occurred: _____

Sufficient efforts to give notice were made as follows: _____

11. Other: The court is concerned about Respondent's father's criminal history as Respondent lives with him and he provides significant child care. However, no testimony or evidence was submitted explaining the criminal history.

THE COURT ORDERS

1. The following medical insurance and/or costs be paid by Petitioner Respondent _____

2. LEGAL DECISION-MAKING.

Sole legal decision-making awarded to Petitioner Respondent

Joint legal decision-making awarded to both parties

Primary Physical Residence Adjustment or other Adjustments: _____

3. **PARENTING TIME.** Awarded to Petitioner Respondent as follows:

according to the terms of the Parenting Plan attached and made a part of this Order OR Pursuant to Yavapai County Parenting Time Guidelines.

Absent an agreement of the Parties,

• Parenting time shall be with Respondent at all times not with Petitioner.

• Petitioner shall have parenting time as follows:

Beginning if no school, until _____ and _____ from pick up from school or _____ from _____ which schedule shall continue every other week.

• Exchanges that are not at the school shall take place in the _____ Police Station "Safe Exchange Zone."

Court-ordered discretionary parenting time adjustments: _____

4. **CHILD SUPPORT.**

The Petitioner Respondent is ordered to pay child support in the amount of \$ _____ per month beginning _____, pursuant to the Arizona Guidelines for child support, according to the Parent Worksheet for Child Support Amount filed with the Petition. Such amount to be paid by automatic wage assignment and to be paid through the Support Payment Clearinghouse.