

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-151

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 29, 2025

The Complainant alleged a retired judge abused the prestige of the office by writing a letter to the Executive Board of Clemency using official letterhead, because he was bribed.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Regina L. Nassen and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 29, 2025.

Arizona State Prison

THE ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT
1501 W. WASHINGTON
PHOENIX AZ 85007
HONORABLE CHAIRPERSON:

Re: ARIZONA COURT

① THROUGH A PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST,
I RECEIVED THESE DOCUMENTS,
EXCEPT THE PSI PAGE.

② THE ARIZONA
ENGAGED IN INFLUENCE PEDDLING - COURT
USING THE PRESTIGE OF THE COURT -
ON ITS LETTERHEAD - TO INFLUENCE
THE DECISION OF THE ARIZONA

AS FULLY SET FORTH IN MY
ATTACHED LETTER - TO CHIEF

Justice

- ③ MEMBERS OF THE BOARD RELIED ON THE PRESTIGE OF THE COUNCIL TO GRANT CLEMENCY - BUT AS I STATE IN THE ATTACHED LETTER - THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD - REFUSED TO APPLY THE SAME STANDARD TO ME - AS - I REFUSE TO RESERVE A JUDGE - LIKE JUDGE - AND HAVE HIM INFLUENCE THE BOARD
- ④ The stated in the RS that
"
"
"
- ⑤ Judge - A BOARD MEMBER - WHO WAS A JUDGE OF COUNTY - WHEN PCR PROCEEDINGS WERE PENDING - DID NOT DISCLOSE THIS FACT - AND - SAT AS A HEARING OFFICER IN MY CASE - WHERE HE WAS FORMER EMPLOYER WAS A VICTIM

THE PREJUDICE

(6) AS I DID NOT BRIBE A
STAFF of the ARIZONA
COURT - to write the letter
Judge
wrote on my behalf - the
ARIZONA - Members
AND - (applied)
A DIFFERENT STANDARD to me.

(7) Because I could not bribe
A Judge to write a letter
of REFERENCE on the ARIZONA
COURT letter head:

(a) Judge
told me (on tape) I
have shown no remorse
(when Judge
wrote the letter -
the BOARD DISREGARDED
Remorse for the
inmate).

(b) Judge
told me (on tape)

Actual innocence was for the County
(when Judge wrote
the letter the BOARD considered
Actual innocence)

(C) Judge)
ME I have discipline issues (But
As Judge wrote the
letter - the ASBOK - looked
the other way for molesting
A child in Prison visitation
Room

Conclusion

This is my Complaint Against
(a) The Arizona
Court

(b) Judges

(c) The ASBOK members

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**