

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-157

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 18, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge favored the mother in a divorce proceeding, protected lawyers, and failed to maintain decorum in the courtroom in a family law case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Scott H. O'Connor did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 18, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-157

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I am filing this complaint against Judge	County	Court, Case No.
<p>for sustained judicial misconduct. He demonstrated systemic bias, failed to enforce his own rulings, denied me due process, and allowed unethical and abusive conduct by attorneys, court-appointed professionals, and the opposing party's family to go unaddressed. He ignored formal motions and excluded critical evidence, enabling further harm to me and my children.</p>		
<p>His rulings consistently favored legal insiders while punishing me, a self-represented father, for acting with integrity. This conduct has led to severe financial, emotional, and parental harm and undermines public trust in judicial fairness.</p>		
<p>A full narrative and supporting details are included in the attached complaint document. I also maintain over 370 GB of case documentation—including correspondence, financial and medical records, videos, and photos—organized into files across folders, available upon request.</p>		

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

A full narrative and supporting details are included in the attached complaint document. I also maintain over 370 GB of case documentation—including correspondence, financial and medical records, videos, and photos—organized into files across folders, available upon request.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007
cjc@courts.az.gov

Re: Complaint Against Judge (**County** **Court**)

Dear Members of the Commission,

SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT

I respectfully submit this formal complaint against the conduct of Judge _____, assigned to my family law case (_____). Over the course of several years, Judge _____ has demonstrated a pattern of bias, refusal to follow judicial standards, unequal application of the law, and inaction that enabled further abuse by the opposing party. His conduct has not only compromised the fairness of my proceedings, but it also reflects a systemic misuse of judicial authority in favor of fellow legal industry actors.

I. PATTERN OF BIAS AND UNEQUAL TREATMENT

From the beginning, Judge _____ has demonstrated a pattern of favoritism toward the opposing party (Mother) and her legal team, many of whom are connected to Arizona's legal and judicial networks. Whether or not there is a direct personal relationship, his conduct reveals an unwillingness to hold legal professionals accountable while holding me, a working-class, self-represented father, to a much higher standard.

Judge _____ has consistently ruled in a manner that favors one party, disregards factual inconsistencies, and enables ongoing procedural abuse. His rulings reflect not neutrality, but alignment with legal insiders who act with impunity—protected by their status within the profession.

II. VIOLATIONS OF JUDICIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND ETHICS

Judge _____ inaction enabled the continued systemic abuse of a disabled veteran and small business owner—me, the Complainant—by allowing my family members and their legal affiliates to exploit my federally certified business without legal consequence. As a business certified by the _____ () and the _____ (), my professional operations were subject to federal protections under the Code of Federal Regulations and the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR).

Judge _____ failed to recognize that my professional licensure, SBA certification, and disabled veteran status were leveraged and abused by others who acted illegally under my business name and credentials. This failure ignored relevant federal law, including the “Rule of Two” for veteran contracting, and permitted my exploitation for others’ personal gain—actions that should have warranted judicial scrutiny and protection.

Judge _____ failed to address multiple formal complaints and motions for sanctions that I submitted throughout the proceedings. These included detailed documentation of unethical conduct by court-appointed professionals, procedural fraud, and financial discrimination—all of which were ignored. This inaction not only demonstrates disregard for judicial accountability but further amplifies the perception that Judge _____ protects misconduct when committed by his professional peers.

Judge _____ specific actions—and inactions—constitute violations of due process and the ethical standards of judicial conduct:

- **Failure to Enforce His Own Rulings:** He established three separate deadlines for resolution of the case, each with a dismissal clause, yet repeatedly extended the proceedings when Mother and her attorneys failed to meet those dates. These extensions allowed the opposing party to prolong litigation at will, while severely harming my ability to defend myself and maintain the normal course of my business.
- **Excusal of Ineffective Counsel Without Cause:** Despite clear evidence that my legal counsel failed to act, Judge _____ allowed them to withdraw without accountability. He refused to intervene or ensure effective representation. After I was left without proper counsel, the Judge proceeded to full litigation—and then forced me to pay the opposing party’s legal fees.
- **Refusal to Consider the Legal History of the Parties:** He ignored the well-documented fact that Mother’s father formerly acted as my legal representative in a professional capacity, whose negligent counsel contributed to a decade of civil litigation. This conflict of

interest has direct bearing on the current matter, but Judge [redacted] refused to consider its relevance.

- **Failure to Allow Presentation of Evidence:** Throughout the proceedings, Judge [redacted] consistently denied me the opportunity to present relevant evidence in my defense. He excluded materials that would have clarified business valuations, patterns of abuse, and financial discrepancies. He disregarded documentation from his own supposed neutral evaluators and failed to weigh a comparable business valuation that I obtained independently in a more timely and cost-effective manner.
- **Failure to Recognize Patterns of Exploitation:** Judge [redacted] failed to take into account clear patterns of abuse and manipulation that predated the divorce, including how Mother and her family—many of whom are legal professionals—have previously exploited other men in similar legal circumstances. He ignored my attempts to explain that I was forced to co-defend myself in multiple litigation matters tied to the parties' prior business dealings. Furthermore, the Judge refused to consider how Mother's family had a documented history of refusing to follow the law in our joint business operations and acting immorally in the personal relationship. These behaviors should have been weighed heavily in his decisions related to legal decision-making authority, yet were not.
- **Refusal to Address Mental Health Concerns:** The Court refused to acknowledge or investigate evidence of Mother's long-documented pre-marital mental health conditions, including her history of prescribed medication use, abuse, and noncompliance. These untreated and concealed medical conditions directly affected the family dynamic and contributed to unexplained psychological breakdowns throughout the marriage. Her medical history and prescription use were actively hidden from me for over nine years of our relationship—information that remained concealed not only from me but also from the Court and its appointed professionals. The Court failed to act on any of this, and even when I presented evidence that I was being accused of behaviors attributable to Mother and her family, I was censured or ignored.
- **Failure to Hold Medical Professionals Accountable:** Judge [redacted] refused to hold other officers of the court—including licensed medical professionals—to the professional standards they are bound to. He accepted unsupported or one-sided evaluations while failing to acknowledge contradictory or more complete assessments from independent professionals.
- **Failure to Prevent Ongoing Exploitation:** His inaction transformed a civil dispute into a prolonged family law battle, during which I was denied access to my own business, assets, and resources. Mother and her family of attorneys used this litigation not to resolve family issues, but to criminally and financially exploit me under judicial cover.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**