

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-166

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

August 5, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings in a family law case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The allegations of the complaint in this matter solely raised disagreements with legal rulings. The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. "A good faith error of fact or law does not violate this rule. However, a pattern of legal error or an intentional disregard of the law may constitute misconduct." *See* comment 3 to Rule 2.2 of the Code of Judicial conduct. Any violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct must be proven by clear and convincing evidence.

A mistake in a ruling does not automatically mean that the judicial officer has engaged in judicial misconduct. Judicial officers are required to exercise their discretion in making their decisions. Even if a judicial officer's decision is legally or factually incorrect, the ruling is generally considered to be "legal error" and not "ethical misconduct" that the Commission can address. When a complaint to the Commission solely relates to the correctness of a judicial officer's legal decisions, the Complainant is seeking the Commission to function in the capacity of an appellate court. Correcting legal errors is the role of the appellate courts, not the Commission.

The Commission is a regulatory body with limited jurisdiction. The Commission is not a court, and as such, it does not have any authority to overturn a judicial officer's rulings, intervene in a case, assign a new judge to hear a case, or award any monetary or other relief. The Commission process cannot be a substitute for seeking appellate review.

The Commission reviewed all information submitted by the Complainant and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

A Duty Panel comprised of Commission members Regina L. Nassen, Scott H. O'Connor, and Christopher P. Staring considered this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 5, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-166

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: (formally) Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I. Overview of Allegations
 Judge _____ conduct has demonstrated:

- Judicial bias and lack of impartiality
- Denial of due process and equal protection
- Repeated refusal to consider or review evidence
- Misuse of discretion resulting in harm to a litigant and minor children
- Issuance of rulings that are procedurally void, unsigned, and unsealed
- Collusion or undue alignment with opposing counsel
- Retaliation against me for financial hardship and protected legal actions

II. Description of Misconduct

1. Issuance of Void and Unlawful Orders
 On _____, Judge _____ issued a ruling reversing custody and child support obligations without a valid seal, signature, or recorded basis in fact. This ruling: Erased over _____ in verified child support arrears owed to me

2. Malicious and Retaliatory Child Support Ruling. Judge _____ issued an order requiring me to pay _____ per month in child support to the opposing party—a father who has lived a financially privileged lifestyle for years—while I was in severe financial hardship and actively seeking enforcement of unpaid support. At the time, I had a pending Motion to Modify the existing support amount, which had been set at _____ every week nearly a decade ago - also by Judge _____ - despite my ex-husband's known income and resources. Rather than increasing support to reflect actual needs, Judge _____ first lowered it to _____ per month, then reversed the obligation entirely and imposed _____ per month on me - a tenfold increase compared to what I had ever received, and from the parent with significantly fewer financial means.

There was no change in custody, no financial disclosures from the father, no inquiry into my income or hardship, and no legal justification. This ruling was not based on fact or law. It was a retaliatory and punitive act, issued by a judge who had full knowledge of the long-standing financial abuse, the imbalance, and the damage being inflicted.

Ordered me to pay child support to the opposing party without any demonstrated change in circumstance

- Was based on unsupported claims that I had relocated, which is false, I was traveling for work and had communicated that clearly in filings

2. Consistent Denial of My Motions
 Throughout multiple months of litigation, Judge _____ denied nearly all motions I submitted, including:

- Emergency requests for financial relief, parenting time enforcement, and protection from harassment
- Motions supported by police reports and documented abuse

Conversely, opposing counsel's motions were routinely granted, often without hearing, valid notice, within hours, meanwhile mine were ignored for 2-3 weeks, despite time-sensitive requests.

3. Refusal to Acknowledge or Review Submitted Evidence
 I attempted to submit critical documentation, including:
 Police Reports, Bank Statements, Blocked Portal Access, photographs, screenshots, and more.
 My filings were ignored, and on several occasions the court portal appeared to prevent me from

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: (formally) Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

4. Bias in Favor of Opposing Counsel (

Judge _____ rulings consistently and almost immediately reflected suggestions made by opposing counsel. There was no independent inquiry into the facts, and several of _____ unsupported verbal statements were adopted directly into judicial orders.

5. Use of False and Defamatory Claims as Basis for Rulings

Judge _____ repeatedly relied on false and defamatory accusations as the foundation for critical judicial decisions. I was labeled " _____ " and " _____ " in filings submitted by the opposing party and his counsel - none of which were supported by professional evaluations, legal findings, or admissible evidence. No psychological assessment was ever conducted, nor was one ordered. Despite this, these claims were adopted into the record and directly used to justify the removal of my parenting rights and the reversal of custody.

Note: While the content of this complaint is specific to my experience, I have encountered several others—parents, litigants, and observers—whose case structures and outcomes bear striking resemblance. The repetition of language, procedural omissions, and decision-making patterns has become difficult to ignore.

It may be unrelated. It may not be. I document carefully, and I remain attentive to detail.

6. Obstruction of Legal Process and Procedural Rights

I was routinely prevented from speaking in hearings, even during my own motions. I was not permitted to enter or present evidence. My efforts to request enforcement of child support or request a rehearing were ignored or denied without review.

III. Impact and Harm

As a direct result of these actions:

- I have suffered extreme emotional and financial harm
- My relationship with my children has been severely disrupted
- My constitutional rights, included Fourteenth Amendment have been denied
- I have been denied basic access to legal process, including the right to be heard and the right to present evidence
- My children have been placed in a legally and emotionally dangerous position

IV. Relief Requested

I respectfully request that the Commission:

- Initiate an immediate investigation into Judge _____ conduct
- Review the rulings, transcripts, and filings associated with my case
- Issue a public reprimand, suspension, or referral for removal from the bench if violations are confirmed
- Ensure that future litigants are not subjected to the same pattern of judicial misconduct and abuse of discretion

V. Supporting Evidence

The following are available for submission upon request or upon coordination with relevant agencies:

Copy of the _____ Order (unsigned, unsealed), Motion history and denial record, Police records and field contact reports. Affidavit from my minor son, screenshots, Related Bar Complaint filed against _____ for coordinated abuse

VOID JUDGMENT DECLARATION

TO: Arizona

FROM:

DATE:

RE: Declaration of Void Judgment – Family Court Ruling Issued by Judge _____ on _____

I, _____, Sovereign Mother and Living Woman, hereby declare and affirm under penalty of perjury and full lawful capacity that the ruling issued on _____ by Judge _____ in the _____ County Family Court is VOID AB INITIO and holds no lawful, constitutional, or moral standing.

This Declaration is Based on the Following Ground

1. Judge _____ original divorce ruling in _____ stripped me of all marital assets, awarded only _____ month total in child support, erased my retirement account, and placed over _____ in debt onto me, leaving me with no car, no housing, and small children in survival mode. **(Violation of 14th Amendment – Equal Protection Clause)**
2. I was assigned 50/50 parenting time without any financial support or housing stability, while _____ retained all financial advantage, supported by a wealthy family. **(Substantive Due Process Violation – 5th and 14th Amendments)**
3. My filing to enforce _____ in child support arrears was ignored, dismissed, and never rescheduled. **(42 U.S. Code § 1983 – Civil Rights Deprivation)**
4. My motion to modify child support based on ongoing hardship was ignored. **(Violation of Due Process)**
5. Two emergency motions, based on unlawful lockouts, eviction, and documented financial coercion – were filed and denied without hearing. **(Denial of access to justice, 14th Amendment Due Process)**
6. _____ attorney _____ filed harassing motions while I was being forced into unstable housing, which his actions directly caused. They then used this instability to argue against my fitness. **(42 U.S. Code § 1985 – Conspiracy to interfere with Civil Rights)**
7. My temporary stay in _____ for work was weaponized to paint me as “unstable” and “moving out of town,” despite the fact that I maintained legal Arizona residency and a rental home. **(Violation of Right to Work and Travel – Substantive Liberty Rights)**
8. Judge _____ fabricated my income at _____ month based on a just mere comments during hearing, not financial records. My actual reported income was significantly lower. **(Fraud upon the Court)**
9. _____ child support arrears were erased based solely on his false claim that he “already paid,” without a shred of documentation. **(Intentional Misrepresentation – 18 U.S.C. § 1001)**
10. My child support was flipped from already grossly insufficient _____ week, to even worse _____ per month, and then later to _____ month payable to _____ despite lack of any income proof from him and full documentation of his high-income lifestyle. **(Violation of Equal Protection – 14th Amendment)**
11. No evidentiary hearing was scheduled on my motions. My court evidence was blocked from uploading due to verified system error, noted on the record and disregarded. **(Violation of Civil Procedure – Rule 26, Right to be Heard)**
12. I was denied access to transcripts of the hearing after formally requesting them—transcripts that would have shown bias, dishonesty, and legal misconduct by the judge and opposing counsel. **(Violation of 5th & 14th Amendments and public access rights)**
13. I was punished for survival and truth, while _____ was rewarded for documented deception, slander, abuse, and coercive control. **(Violation of the Clean Hands Doctrine)**
14. My son _____ submitted a sworn Affidavit Of Truth on _____ declaring his desire to live with me and providing testimony of emotional harm. The affidavit was ignored, ridiculed, and dismissed. **(Violation of Parental and Child Rights under Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. 57 (2000))**
15. This ruling relied on no valid judicial seal or signature, violating 28 U.S.C. § 1691 and constituting judicial fraud under 18 U.S.C. § 505 - the use of an unauthorized or forged judicial document. **The ruling was issued without a valid judicial signature or seal and relies on fraud upon the court at every level.**
16. I hold a restraining order due to repeated harassment, unlawful lockouts, and financial coercion. My protected address was unlawfully disclosed in court documents, even after the opposing party was allowed to serve me via text, making the exposure entirely unnecessary and retaliatory.
17. I am a public figure, and this unlawful ruling has resulted in psychological harm, reputational injury, and alienation of both my children, _____, who are now being manipulated through isolation, coercion, and defamation, perpetuated by their father _____ and grandmother _____ **(Violation of fundamental parental rights and protections against psychological interference under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and U.N. Convention on the Rights of the Child – Article 9, 18)**
18. 16. During a court recess, an active microphone inadvertently captured a conversation between the opposing attorney and his client. The tone, language, and premature certainty regarding the ruling serve as undeniable evidence of judicial corruption and active conspiracy. This recording is now preserved as formal evidence and will be submitted under penalty of perjury if required.

Let the Record Reflect:

All evidence is enclosed in the Master Evidence Packet, including:

- Sworn Affidavits (mine and
- Police transcripts
- Documentation of coercive control, alienation, and communication interference
- Screenshots
- and call logs
- Lawyer threats
- Full chronology of denied filings and procedural obstructions

This Judgment Violates:

- 5th & 14th Amendments – Due Process & Equal Protection
- 42 U.S. Code § 1983 – Civil Rights Deprivation
- 42 U.S. Code § 1985 – Civil Rights Conspiracy
- 18 U.S. Code § 505 – Fraudulent Judicial Document
- 28 U.S. Code § 1691 – Invalid Judicial Signature/Seal
- Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. 57 (2000) – Parental rights protection
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights (Articles 6, 7, 10, 12)
- UN Convention on the Rights of the Child – Article 9
- UCC 1-308 & UCC 1-103.6 – Reservation of Rights and Sovereign Law

Final Declaration:

This ruling is VOID:

- **For lack of due process**
- **For judicial bias and misconduct**
- **For fraud upon the court**
- **For violation of unalienable parental rights**
- **For injury to a child**
- **For unlawful signature and seal under federal law**

This declaration is now entered into public record and will be submitted to:
Arizona, Arizona, Arizona, and all applicable international authorities.

Failure to act will constitute knowing complicity in fraud, injury to a child, and criminal obstruction of justice.

Signed under full lawful authority,

Sovereign Mother, Lawful Woman

All Rights Reserved –

**APPELLANT'S OPENING BRIEF
IN THE
STATE OF ARIZONA**

Appellant

v.

Appellee

Appeal from _____ County _____ Court – Family Division
Hon. _____
Case No: _____

I. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This appeal challenges a ruling issued by Judge _____ on _____ that is so devoid of lawful foundation, it is **VOID AB INITIO**. The ruling stripped the Appellant of her parenting time, imposed baseless financial burdens, and relied entirely on fabrication, bias, and suppression of evidence.

It failed to follow lawful process under 28 U.S.C. § 1691, was issued without judicial seal or valid signature, and directly violated Appellant's rights under the 5th and 14th Amendments of the U.S. Constitution.

Every motion filed by Appellant to enforce financial obligations, report abuse, or seek protection was denied or ignored. Every motion by the Appellee, including retaliatory, frivolous, or perjured ones, was granted without scrutiny.

This brief is not merely a challenge to a bad ruling. It is a declaration of fraud upon the court, deprivation of constitutional rights, coercive abuse of a child, and a judicially endorsed campaign of destruction against a mother who dared to speak truth.

II. ISSUES PRESENTED FOR REVIEW

1. Whether the trial court violated Appellant's due process rights under the 5th and 14th Amendments by denying evidentiary hearings, blocking evidence, and relying on fabricated financial information.
2. Whether the judgment is void under federal law (28 U.S.C. § 1691 and 18 U.S.C. § 505) for lack of valid judicial signature, seal, and procedural compliance.
3. Whether the trial court exhibited unconstitutional bias, consistently ruling against Appellant, suppressing her motions, and granting the Appellee's with no evidentiary basis.
4. Whether the trial court enabled psychological harm, coercive control, and custodial interference by ignoring a sworn affidavit from a _____-year-old child and supporting the seizure of his communication devices.
5. Whether the ruling constitutes a violation of civil rights under 42 U.S. Code § 1983, triggering federal remedies and civil damages.

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

1. Appellant, _____ was left with no car, no home, and children after the original divorce decree (also by Judge _____) awarded her _____

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**