

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-172

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

August 13, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner was biased and did not stop plaintiff from calling him an animal in a protective order proceeding.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 13, 2025.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2025-172

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: [ ] Judge's Name: [ ]

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Between	Location:	County	Court	Time:
and	and	*****	*****	

I am submitting this formal complaint to express my serious concerns regarding the conduct of Judge [ ] during a recent hearing on an order of protection held on the date and location mentioned above.

During this hearing, I was the named defendant in a case involving serious allegations, including a claim of sexual assault made by the plaintiff. Despite the gravity of these allegations, palpably mendacious, Judge [ ] allowed the plaintiff to use dehumanizing, inflammatory, and degrading language when referring to me. Plaintiff referred to me among other names as animal, ferocious animal, savage being and so on. This language was not only offensive and inappropriate for a courtroom setting but also contributed to an atmosphere of bias and hostility. Judge [ ] did not timely intervene to caution the plaintiff or request respectful conduct, thereby failing to maintain the courtroom's decorum and impartiality. I, the defendant, had to raise my voice and object to those words before Judge [ ] could remind the plaintiff of her disrespectful choice of words.

Judge [ ] appeared to believe naively and gullibly in sexual allegations descriptions made by the plaintiff even though a reasonable person would easily see that the manner in which the plaintiff described such acts was nothing short of a caricature.

Judge [ ] was visibly reticent in challenging the plaintiff's description of the event and was downright acting as the plaintiff's lawyer with his soft and avuncular questioning.

Judge [ ] accepted and appeared to rely on evidence submitted by the plaintiff that was demonstrably false or irrelevant to the plaintiff's allegations. Specifically, the materials presented did not support the accusation of sexual assault, nor did they establish the necessity of an order of protection under the applicable legal standards.

Despite this, no meaningful scrutiny of the evidence was undertaken, nor was I given a fair opportunity to challenge its validity. This conduct raises serious concerns about the lack of impartiality, the failure to uphold procedural fairness, and the judge's duty to protect the integrity of the judicial process. By allowing dehumanizing language and unverified evidence, Judge [ ] failed to safeguard my right to fair and unbiased hearing.

The plaintiff was having difficulties in recounting from memory the alleged assault and had to glance multiple times at her notes to continue her story—a clear indication that the plaintiff was not being truthful. Although Judge [ ] brought plaintiff's attention to her relying on her notes to answer simple questions, he still believed the plaintiff and did not challenge the plaintiff in her inconsistencies.

I initially ascribed Judge [ ] handling of the [ ] hearing to both affinity with the plaintiff [ both arguably sharing common ancestry] and the fact that plaintiff was there with her family—I was by myself—which intimidated Judge [ ] into not "disappointing" those accompanying the plaintiff. Judge [ ] did NOT obviously pay attention to the Exhibits that I submitted that controverted the allegations, including actual text messages and pictures. I respectfully request that this matter be investigated, and that appropriate steps be taken to ensure accountability and prevent such conduct from recurring in future proceedings.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

	Location: County Court		Time:
Between _____ and _____			
The hearing about case _____	that started on _____	_____	did not finish that day and Judge _____
Rosales asked plaintiff and defendant to return on _____	*****		
<p>(1) On _____ around _____ It was my turn to cross-examine the plaintiff. Judge _____ did not allow me to tell my side of story and asked me to ask direct questions. Judge _____ insisted on the manner in which I should frame my questions to the plaintiff. It is not up to Judge _____ to direct me in the way I chose to phrase my questions during cross-examination. He was not the person dealing with calumny, I was.</p> <p>(2) During cross-examination around _____ Judge _____ refused I use an exhibit showing marks that victims of assault--in the manner in which the plaintiff described it--would normally bear. His refusal essentially weakened the impact of my cross-examination.</p> <p>Judge _____ was very protective of the plaintiff during the cross-examination and even answering questions for the plaintiff. I challenged the plaintiff to specific date and time only to have Judge _____ coming to the plaintiff's defense saying that she did not have to give me or the court those details. He was just nodding to ALL the plaintiffs' words.</p> <p>Judge _____ during the cross-examination--for a blind person--could at times be mistaken for the plaintiff's lawyer even though the plaintiff did not have a lawyer in the courtroom.</p> <p>(3) The plaintiff answered NO to key questions that would make a reasonable and fair judge to question the allegations made in the order of protection, but Judge _____ made the choice to disregard everything and take plaintiff's word as the Gospel, everything at face value. He did so biasedly and lopsidedly to my consternation.</p> <p>(4) In the order of protection, the plaintiff used words such as "aggressive". During my cross-examination Judge _____ used the same word, saying that I was "aggressive" and that I was too authoritative and intimidating--and that's probably why the plaintiff filed an order of protection, suggesting that he too would be afraid of me.</p> <p>Upon legal counsel, I had tried my best to be polite, follow decorum, dress appropriately for respect for the court only to be publicly profiled in court in such a manner from a person who is supposed to be impartial.</p> <p>I wonder how "calm and composed" a person whom false allegations have been made against should cross-examine their lying accuser.</p>			

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge [redacted] had certainly formed a biased opinion of me from the first time he laid his eyes on me, from the moment I opened my mouth and after the plaintiff made her first testimony--although false--there was nothing I could possibly do or say to get that bogus order of protection dismissed because judge [redacted] found in the plaintiff a daughter, a relative, whom an order of protection must be granted to protect her from the "aggressive" defendant who happened to be her husband; a husband whom she lied to about a secret trip she took abroad, came home to a husband who did not speak a word to her, and then run to the court house to get an order of protection (upon conniving immigration lawyer friend's advice) because she was not sure how the husband would react.

Judge [redacted] gave the plaintiff exactly what she conspired to get: To get an order of protection for Green Card extension purposes so that she could bypass the defendant when comes time to lift the marriage condition on her card. Plaintiff got her conditional Green Card late [redacted]. Order of Protection filed on [redacted]. A savvy and impartial judge would have seen that the plaintiff had an agenda and that is why she was begging for the order of protection; and, of course, Judge [redacted] fell for it.

Judge [redacted] accepted and tolerated the plaintiff's use of dehumanizing and objectionable language to refer to the defendant, but he took exception in the way I, the defendant, was cross-examining the plaintiff.

A judge should NOT let their affinity to a group of people get in their way of rendering fair and just judgement NOR should they accept unsubstantiated allegations made by a plaintiff go unchallenged for whatever reasons.

Judge [redacted] was visibly credulous on many accounts during the hearing, and believed almost everything the plaintiff was uttering—even without proof.

The plaintiff admitted in open court to have lied to defendant about certain events. Despite all that, judge [redacted] went ahead to uphold the order of protection just so to keep his "client" or "relative" safe from the "aggressive" defendant.

**A REASONABLE JUDGE WOULD HAVE DISMISSED THAT BOGUS ORDER OF PROTECTION.**

I do recognize the mistake that I made: I went in without a lawyer.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I am willing to provide further documentation or testimony if necessary.

Respectfully,

/ /

FILED

CLERK.

COURT

ARIZONA

COURT,

COUNTY

By:

HON.

CASE NO.

COURT REPORTER:

Digitally Recorded  
Courtroom -

DATE:

In Proper Person

Plaintiff

VS.

In Proper Person

Defendant

**MINUTE ENTRY**

**CONTESTED ORDER OF PROTECTION**

Plaintiff is present. Defendant is present. and interpreting.

The Court and the parties confer.

The Rule having been invoked, witnesses are excluded from the courtroom.

, previously sworn, further testifies.

, previously sworn, testifies.

The parties make closing arguments.

For reasons set forth on the record,

THE COURT FINDS as follows:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter.
2. The necessary relationship between the parties exists, which includes being married and having lived together in the past.
3. Plaintiff has met her burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant committed acts of domestic violence against her, specifically intimidation pursuant to A.R.S. § 13-1202.
4. Defendant directed a series of acts against Plaintiff that made Plaintiff feel threatened or intimidated and would make a reasonable person feel the same. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED the Order of Protection issued is affirmed.

THE COURT FINDS the Federal Brady Law limitations apply.

The Defendant accepts service of the Hearing Order and Notice of Brady Indicator in open court.

Deputy Clerk

MINUTE ENTRY

Page 2

Date:

Case No.:

---

IT IS ORDERED that all exhibits that have been marked for identification and not offered for admission into evidence are to be released by the clerk to the parties.

FILED IN COURT: Hearing Order; Notice of Brady Indicator; Acceptance of Service; Release of Exhibits

cc: Hon.

Court Interpreter

---

---

Deputy Clerk

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**