

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-173

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 23, 2025

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge did not permit cross-examination, improperly withheld evidence, and failed to stop witness coaching in a protective order case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 23, 2025.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-173

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [] Judge's Name: Hon. []

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

1. For case [] The Hon [] refused to allow cross-examination after the other party was been permitted to cross-examine. I he right to cross-examine a witness is a fundamental aspect of the Sixth Amendment's Confrontation Clause, ensuring a fair trial. The right to confrontation and cross-examination is essential for testing the credibility of witnesses and presenting a complete defense.

2. The defendant under Oath testified to violating the current Order Of protection which was currently still in effect during trial and nothing was done to hold [] Accountable and zero action was taken.

3. At the start of trial evidence was submitted but then removed after a continuance was asked for. [] asked that i not be allowed to keep the evidence because I have a history of using it as a weapon against our daughter. No evidence was given in anyway to conform or support this allegation nor was i allowed to even say a word when Judge [] agreed and had the evidence that was going to be used for trial removed. Had this not happened I would have had time to collect the proper evidence to further discredit such allegations and provide full videos of the evidence which was cut short in court in order to make it look a certain way.

4. All evidence was not properly given a proper time limit to be presented and I had to choose which evidence because of time constraints when I have a right to be able to present every part of evidence in its entirety which led to most of evidence not being able to be shown which was crucial for making a decision,

5. Before court was moved to another date, Plaintiff asked that evidence not be shared after it had already been given to each party. Defendant made claims that were not supported in any way by any evidence. While she had copies of what she was facing, I [] was not able to look at any evidence because he granted her request based on words and no credible evidence.

6. Multiply times the sister of [] who was sitting in the back kept giving [] advice on what to do. She was told [] by the lady taking notes that day to stop but at no point was this addressed by the judge.

I would ask that this whole case be reviewed as I feel my rights were violated and I was not given a fair trial which has resulted in continued abuse from defendant and continued harassment to mention a few.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:**Judge's Name:**

Hon.

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.